It is important to ensure that the developing countries are closely associated with this process of evolution. They, more than any others, need to make themselves heard. More than any others, they are entitled to be informed. Within the INGO’s, relationships are easier and more intimate than in inter-State conferences. The subjects are more definite, the facts speak louder for themselves, and friendships grow up more naturally.

Where many INGO’s are concerned, there is no better or more useful task than to increase the number of their members in the developing countries so as to be better able to understand their worries and difficulties, to compare their desires and needs with those of the industrialized countries and thus, within the organization itself, to work for the conciliation of interests and the coordination of efforts. Very soon the governments will no longer be able to do without such help, because the very nature of diplomatic negotiations has the effect of underlining obstacles and difficulties — which it is, of course, necessary to know in order to be able to overcome them — whereas contacts within international organizations that are not politically committed tend more easily to highlight points of agreement and fundamental needs.

But the factor of order, of union among nations, social progress, non-governmental and transnational organizations, bodies serving particular interests but which have no general political responsibility — may not these become a kind of ferment of anarchy in this world of ours? In the absence of a State, has a society ever been known to organize itself spontaneously along the path towards the common weal?

Can it not be asserted, even at this early stage, that the proliferation of non-governmental organizations and transnational bodies postulate the existence of a valid interlocutor, endowed with full legal status?

The United Nations, UNESCO, FAO, the Council of Europe, etc. all accept the principle of co-operation with the INGO’s, since they acknowledge their advisory status. But is that enough?

As for the transnational bodies, they have no international interlocutor anywhere.

It may therefore be confidently stated that the legally-established international bodies are today largely outstripped by the growth, in number and importance, of private initiatives. And if this imbalance were to last too long, it would not fail to be productive of abuses and to create dangerous tensions which neither the United Nations nor the Nation States could easily deal with.

Faced with this situation, the task of investigation and promotion which the UAI has assigned itself becomes ever more important. It must learn and analyse more thoroughly than ever before the phenomenon which is a completely novel one in our history: this growing proliferation of private international organizations. They develop on the fringe of the law, and are becoming an increasingly weighty factor in the development of peoples. The phenomenon is such a vast one that it is difficult to grasp, and one day it will be necessary to double or triple the means available to the UAI if the latter is designated to become the worldwide body dedicated to that new science: which, in the final analysis, is its true and rightful vocation.

A GLANCE AT SIXTY YEARS OF ACTIVITY (1910-1970) OF THE UNION OF INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

by Georges Patrick SPEECKAERT, Secretary General of the UAI.

INTRODUCTION

“La Vie Internationale et l’effort pour son organisation.” (International Affairs and the drive to organize them).

That title, given to the leading article of the first issue — which came out in April 1912 — of the magazine of the Union of International Associations, is a perfect summary of the scope of action and the task assigned to the Union by the two authors of the article, Henri La Fontaine and Paul Olet. These two, with another Belgian — Cyrille Van Overbergh, were the first General Secretaries of the Union whose 60th anniversary is being celebrated this year.

It has been said, and cannot be sufficiently emphasized, that they were the main founders and, for over thirty years, the kingpins of the Union of International Associations which I shall henceforth designate by its initials UAI.

Having discovered, read and re-read their thoughts, realized their hopes and dreams, noted their methodical outlook and their tenacity, discovered their prophetic concepts and disinterested idealism on so many occasions and in so many of their writings over the last few decades, I should like to present this historical survey as a tribute to their memories.

To my mind, this tribute would be weakened were I to disregard certain setbacks, and indeed certain errors made in the course of an otherwise remarkable work.

The backbone of any institution is constituted by human beings, as Jacques Rueff wrote one day, and consequently it is invariable that another article of the present issue devoted to the UAI should help us, by tracing the portraits of Henri La Fontaine and Paul Olet, to arrive at a better understanding of the spirit and quality of the men who built up the UAI.

While it is my hope to devote an entire book to this subject one day and thus pay a tribute to the whole army of great pioneers of international co-operation whose names, in so many cases, are bound up with the history of the UAI, today I must restrict myself to the limited number of pages allocated to me and therefore abandon my idea of recalling their personal contribution in order to recall merely the targets, milestones, setbacks and achievements of an institution which is unique of its kind in 1970, and was even more so at the time of its founding in 1910.
I shall avoid over-weighting this brief survey by footnotes and other references, and invite all readers interested in a detailed study of the points referred to in this statement, to consult the Select Bibliography on International Organization — 1855-1964 (150 pages) which we published in 1965.

Those passages in quotation marks which are cited without any reference as to source, are taken from UAI publications prior to 1940.

**

Before examining what the Union of International Associations was, what it attempted and what it achieved, a certain amount of data must be borne in mind.

The first few international organizations came into being gradually shortly after the Congress of Vienna: only six were created between 1815 and 1849, and twenty-nine between 1850 and 1869. The number of international congresses held during the same periods was fourteen and one hundred and twenty-two respectively.

Then the movement began to speed up. Yet, in 1900, there were still only 208 international organizations, 186 of which were established in Europe, 17 in North America, 2 in South America, 2 in Asia, and 1 in Africa. Twelve per cent of them were intergovernmental bodies.

The four years 1900 to 1904 witnessed the founding of 61 other international non-governmental organizations — which were known as international associations until the United Nations came into existence in 1945 — and 5 more intergovernmental bodies. During the period 1905-1908, the figures were 131 and 4 respectively; from 1910 to 1914, they were 112 and 4.

It was only from 1904 on that the annual number of international congresses finally rose to more than one hundred. Today, it is in excess of 4,000 a year. It should also be remembered that throughout the pre-1914 period, Belgium was the main host country of the international movement, and alone welcomed one-quarter — and sometimes even one-third — of the international organizations. In 1914 their number stood at about 500; today it is about to pass the figure of 3,000, ten per cent of which are of the intergovernmental kind.

I. ITS AIMS

Sociology

In 1907 Cyrille Van Overbergh, Director General of Higher Education, Science and Literature of the Belgian Ministry of Science and Art, and Director of the "International Sociological Movement", wrote in the preface to a survey on "International Association", published by the Belgian Sociological Society:

"Among the various social structures, there is one which is capturing the attention of the civilized world to a growing extent; it is developing and growing under our very eyes, with a speed and fertility that prove its response to a growing need: this is the international association in the present-day sense of the term; one of the most characteristics expressions of solidarity among nations and, one might say, the compound essence of the concept of internationalism in its highest and most fruitful expression."

Here we should pause a moment at the word internationalism, which is now obsolete, but which was widely used in the first documents published by the UAI.

A recent and fascinating neologism, to the intellectual elite of the early twentieth century it recalled a notion of a newly born civilization, a new organization of society. It was also used to designate "the study of international affairs and their organic coordination".

The following fact seems to me to be a revealing one. On 6th May 1910, in honour of the people participating in the 1st World Congress of International Associations which was to lead to the foundation of the Union of International Associations, a reception was held at the Sociological Institute in Brussels. Mr. Maxweiler, Director of the latter, gave a lecture on the relationship between sociology and internationalism, in which he stated: "Sociology is the study of life. There can be no possibility of a social world without providing for some form of organization, and consequently sociology is very close to internationalism, both in practice and in theory. There is growing concern about what the people will be like in respect of whom the laws are being made; a policy based on science should end up by internationalising the effort".

To the founders of the UAI, "internationalism is a science insofar as it observes and theorises international affairs; it is a social doctrine insofar as it attempts to point out the aims which should be assigned to the human society, to search for the means of achieving those aims and to express them in rules; it is an art and a social policy insofar as it attempts to apply those rules and to convert its precepts into practice."

And as they assigned to the UAI the task of assessing and describing the degree of internationalism prevailing throughout the world, they gave it the aim of pointing up a concept of internationalism and a programme for its implementation.

A fairly detailed document published in August 1921 clarifies the concept, notably by indicating that "internationalism is opposed to other doctrines" such as — and we quote from the actual text — "the militarist philosophy which is convinced of the necessity and the beneficial character of opposition between States, a theory which leads to war; the "statist theory" which raises the State to the rank of supreme expression of the social ideal and the maintenance and development of its strength to the status of supreme purpose, as also the extension of its territory and authority; the "nationalist theory" which rests on narrow patriotism and leaves no room for reasoning, which admires one particular nation to the exclusion of all others and is convinced of the providential nature of one particular State's mission in the world". Yet we should add at once that this brand of internationalism was designed to be quite different from unitary "cosmopolitanism" which regarded the whole of mankind as a single social community irrespective of national groupings.
On the first page of the 1908-1909 edition of the “Annuaire de la Vie Internationale”, in an article entitled “Internationalism as a Science”, Alfred Fried wrote: “Internationalism as a science is of very recent origin. It is based on the concept of international co-operation considered from the standpoint of its causes and its essence... Internationalism, as it appears today, is far from seeking the mechanical blending of individual nations or the abolition of peoples and homelands. Quite the contrary: it is based on nations and homelands and derives from these formations the strength and basis for its existence. By uniting the isolated nations in a common task aimed at attaining a superior culture, and to ensure a more effective representation of the individual interests of all homelands, internationalism first wishes to help in the progressive development of those homelands, the advancement of the vital value and grandeur of each nation; it does not seek to abolish the homelands, but rather, through the accumulated effect of work in common, through the regular exchange of their output, to ensure that they enjoy greater wellbeing and security. In actual fact, internationalism is a higher, nobler form of patriotism.”

In this passage, which was intended to refute such accusations as “enemies of the nations”, “traitors to their country” which were then being hurled against the nationalists, the concept of co-operation in development will not fail to strike the reader.

Similarly, in the report of the 2nd World Congress of International Associations, held in Ghent and Brussels in 1913, we read that: “The Congress has also dissipated any lingering doubt that may still have existed in some minds regarding the possibility of combining the legitimate interests of nationalism with those of internationalism. Far from aiming at a colourless, levelling brand of cosmopolitanism, internationalism is the expression — of which the Congress is the organic expression — that rests on the existence of the national communities themselves. It respects them and would like to see them grow, just as within a single nation it is possible to hope for the development of the various communities of which it consists and the human individuals who are part of those communities.

“It is through increasingly close contacts between nations, the pooling of their experience and achievements, that internationalism will achieve its greatness and strength. Thus, from all the reconciled, united national civilizations, a universal civilization will gradually develop.”

The fundamental concept which led to the institution of the UAI is clarified as follows in the same report of the 1913 Congress:

“The effort must first be directed towards the development of the International Associations as these constitute the social structure which best responds to the organizational needs of the universal society.”

It seems interesting to linger awhile over these concepts which may seem rather outdated today, but which, at the time when the UAI came into existence, were the subject of great controversy. Even in those days it was necessary to restate them since, as Guizot said, “yesterday’s history is the least known and this morning’s the soonest forgotten”. But those quotations are still extraordinarily topical, both as to the thought and the way in which it is expressed in words such as welfare, well-being, security, united civilizations, development.

It was also necessary to quote these excerpts from the numerous texts on the subject published by the UAI in order to make for a better understanding of the fundamental concept which brought the UAI into existence, and which is set out as follows in the report on its 1913 Congress:

“To accomplish these tasks, a central body is necessary. This body is the Union of International Associations with its World Congress, a representational and debating organization, and its executive body the Central Bureau.”

Documentation

Especially during the period preceding the foundation of the UAI, it was considered that one of the important tasks of the international associations was to ensure that documentation on matters coming within their competency should be established and organized on a universal basis.

One might even say that the basis for the increasingly close relations between the international associations, and which led to the creation in 1908 of the Central Bureau of International Associations (which became the UAI Secretariat after 1910) was their concern to arrive at an efficient organization of documentation accruing from the international associations by relying on the services of the International Bibliographical Institute, founded in 1895, and which itself may be claimed to have led to the creation of the UAI. It will be recalled that Henri La Fontaine and Paul Otlet in 1905 were the directors-general of that Institute, and that same year submitted a joint report to the 4th International Conference on Bibliography and Documentation held in Brussels, dealing with “The Present State of Bibliographical Affairs and the International Organization of Documentation”.

Very soon the target was widened to embrace the collection and distribution of a wealth of documentation on all the associations, meetings and publications that were international in character. Then the ambition slowly developed of organizing a world documentation centre, to be fed and developed through the co-operation of all organizations which produced or utilized such documents.

Furthermore, from 1908 on plans were afoot to add another department to the Central Bureau dealing with information on international bodies and on affairs connected with internationalism.

In 1920 there was talk of creating “a general publication and documentation system that would unite in one vast network all the most important study and research centres so as to co-ordinate scientific information and ensure its widest possible distribution.”
Co-ordination and Co-operation

The objectives of a documentary and sociological nature were rounded off by that of promoting co-ordination and co-operation between international associations. Right from the start, the words co-ordination and co-operation caused alarm in many minds.

The Chairman of the 1st World Congress of International Associations, Auguste A. Beernaert, a Minister of State and President of the Interparliamentary Union, strove lucidly to clear up misconceptions by stating in his opening speech, after reviewing many instances of the work done by international bodies:

"We see, therefore, that the question is one of a vast movement of ideas, observations and studies, and the extent to which it is growing in parallel to the ceaseless development of people-to-people relations and the breathtaking advance of science. And after that, is it still necessary for me to make a great effort to demonstrate the utility of co-ordinating all those energies and get them to apply similar methods so that each may benefit from the executive power of the whole? Each association must retain its autonomy and its own character, just as the establishment of interparliamentary relations in no way affects the absolute independence of the States whose nationals are members of that movement. But the charting of an agreement is only one of the ways in which autonomous initiative is exercised. And an agreement of this kind is equally desirable for the unity of effort and for the simplification of means."

In the article "International affairs and the drive to organize them" written in 1912 by Henri La Fontaine and Paul Orlet, mentioned in the first few lines of this article, the objective is defined as follows:

"In parallel to the federation of individual bodies, a genuine federation of work is taking place, based on co-operation and co-ordination.

"Co-operation is based on combined programmes, collective aims charted by mutual agreement, and concerted views on the best means of implementing them.

"Co-operation and co-ordination between International Associations may be directed either towards the purpose of their work (a purpose common to several of them) or towards their methods (unification of documents, unified systems, unitary work factors), or again on the conditions in which the work is done (co-operation to provide the means of doing work at one time and for the benefit of all, that would be in excess of the capabilities or means open to individual bodies)."

The same article goes on to stress the need for co-operation between the international associations and intergovernmental bodies. It underlines that "one of the most important tasks of the International Associations is drawing up rules and regulations. Agreements between States is nearly always directed towards this end. But where private associations are concerned, the place occupied by rules and regulations is constantly growing."

Edited in 1914, the programme of the 3rd World Congress, which should have taken place in 1915 at San Francisco, expresses the wish that "in future, there shall no longer be any field of work or research which is not represented by an international association; that all functions which are part of the life of nations should effectively be scrutinized by appropriate bodies, and that connections should be established between them, so that all of them may co-operate in the general organization of the world."

Peace

The following story is told by Cyrille Van Overbergh in an article published in 1912 in the magazine "La Vie Internationale". It should be recalled that he was at the time one of the Secretaries-General in question:

"The Secretaries-General of the Central Bureau of International Associations can hardly ever meet one another without giving each other the good news that a new international body has been founded — to such an extent that quite
recently, at a pacifist meeting where one of us was talking about progress in this field, an eminent statesman cried: But that's the real positive basis of international pacifism. To unite against war is all very well, but the union has a negative target. It is far better to unite in founding international associations, in multiplying and developing them: I hail this course as the most fruitful kind of civilizing pacifism."

The idea is voiced here in the terminology and atmosphere of an age when the cream of all nations, large and small, sought through diplomatic conferences, congresses and multifarious associations, for the basis of a durable peace edified on a foundation of law, arbitration and disarmament. But the idea itself—peace through the international organizations—which had, moreover, already been put forward at the 1907 Peace Conference in The Hague, was undoubtedly one of the major concepts which guided the work of the Union of International Associations from the time of its foundation.

It is rather surprisingly symbolized by the fact that two of the three authors of the 1908-1909 edition of the Yearbook of International Organizations, which in those days was called "L'Annuaire de la Vie Internationale", were awarded the Nobel Peace Prize: Alfred A. Fried in 1911, and Henri La Fontaine in 1913, not of course for their work as editors of the Yearbook alone.

It is also symbolized by the fact that the Yearbook was published jointly by the Central Bureau of International Organizations, the International Bibliographical Institute and the International Peace Institute; and by the fact that the next edition—of 1910-1911—was published in co-operation with the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

Let us add at this point, leaving aside for a moment the chronological framework we have followed up to now, that underlying all these activities and projects undertaken since 1910 by the Union of International Associations, the idea of peace through international organizations has just regained pride of place in the UAI's future program as result of the recommendation made to Unesco by one of the Soviet members of the UAI, Professor A. Kovalsky. This recommendation is mentioned in the article by Mr. Fenaux, and we shall therefore not deal with it again here.

II. THE MILESTONES

The history of the UAI can be divided into three phases, each of which is delimited by the world wars.

1st phase

It dates back in fact to July 1906, a year in which the few international bodies which had their headquarters in Brussels began to draw closer to one another. This was just after the World Development Congress in Mons (1905) and on the eve of the Hague Conference (1907).

On 4th June 1907, the representatives of twenty or so associations decided to set up the Central Bureau of International Organizations. This was officially founded, under the patronage of the Belgian Government, by the General Assembly of 29th January 1908, during which it was decided to organize a World Congress of International Associations, to be held in Brussels in 1910.

The Congress, which ended in a report totalling 1,246 pages, attracted a great deal of attention. It was held from 9th to 11th May 1910 in the Palace of the Academies, Brussels, under the presidency of Auguste Beernaert, 1909 Laureate of the Nobel Peace Prize, a former Prime Minister, the President and representative of the Interparliamentary Union. Prince Roland Bonaparte; Mr. Clunet, President of the Institute of International Law; Mr. Gobat, 1902 Laureate of the Nobel Peace Prize and a delegate of the International Peace Bureau; Mr. Guillaume, President of the French Commission on Electrotechnical Terminology; Mr. Wilhelm Ostwald, 1909 Laureate of the Nobel Chemistry Prize and President of the International Association of Chemical Societies; and Mr. Ernest Solvay were the vice-Chairmen of the Congress.

Inaugural session of the 1st World Congress of International Associations in the Great Hall of the Brussels Academy on 9th May 1910

Delegates representing 132 international associations, 13 governments, several dozen other associations, and five Nobel Prizewinners took part. This 1st World Congress of International Associations led to the foundation of the UAI.

The three Secretaries-General of the Congress: Henri La Fontaine, Paul Otlet and Cyrille Van Overbergh, became the Secretaries-General of the new body.
The structure of the UAI was as follows: The World Congress, to be held every three years; the International Council, composed of delegates of the international associations, meeting every year; and the Central Bureau as the UAI's executive body.

The 2nd World Congress took place in Ghent and Brussels from 15th to 18th June 1913, under the presidency of Mr. Cooreman, Minister of State and President of the International Congress of Administrative Sciences. It was attended by delegates from 169 international associations and 22 governments.

The work of this Congress, the report on which runs to 1,264 pages, consecrates the results achieved by the first Congress and was "a new milestone along the road to international organization through the unrestricted co-operation of the associations, aided by the States".

The 3rd World Congress, preparations for which were interrupted by the outbreak of war, was to have been held in San Francisco in 1915 within the framework of the Exhibition designed to commemorate the centenary of peace between the United States and Great Britain and to celebrate the opening of the Panama Canal.

In 1914 the UAI had federated 230 international non-governmental organizations, or rather more than half the total number existing at that time.

2nd phase

During World War I, the UAI maintained a relative amount of internal activity, but its directors published a number of studies abroad aimed at the organization of the League of Nations. (H. La Fontaine, *The Great Solution*, 1915; Paul Otlet, *Les Problèmes internationaux et la guerre*, 1916; *Constitution mondiale de la Société des Nations*, 1917).

In this respect, a seven-page memorandum by the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, classified as Council document No. A.41 (B) 1421, communicated on 5th September 1921 to the member States of the League and to the delegates of the Assembly, on the subject of "Educational Activities and Co-ordination of Intellectual Work accomplished by the Union of International Associations", underlined in the following terms the support given by the UAI to the institution of the League of Nations:

"The principles and ambitions of the Union of International Associations were consecrated by the formation of the League. The very nature of the work carried out by the Union of International Associations before the war rendered it indirectly and within the means at its disposal, one of the promoters of the League of Nations. It had already expressly declared at one of the Congresses that the principle of a League of Nations was the ultimate end of all international movements. During the war the leaders of the Union drew up drafts of a Covenant and of an international constitution."

This memorandum paid tribute to the importance of the bodies and collective organizations grouped around the UAI, the natures of which it summarized as well as the debt they owed to Henri La Fontaine and Paul Otlet. It concluded with the following passage:

"Surveying as a whole the picture we have just drawn, the work of the founders of the Union of International Associations, a work of documentation and information, of co-ordination of effort, of general education, appears as a vast enterprise of international intellectual organization, characterized by the breadth of its conception and design. Its action is twofold as regards principles; it owes to the logical force of the ideas which it has brought forward an educative influence which is highly conducive to the development of the ideas of union and international organization. As regards, facts, it has proved its efficacy by the institutions which it has created. The Union of International Associations, its Congresses, the publications connected with them, and the International University, form particularly effective instruments for the "diffusion of a broad spirit of understanding and world-wide co-operation". The League of Nations should regard these institutions to-day as most valuable organs of collaboration.

"This view was affirmed by the Assembly when it approved the moral and material support given by the Council to the Union of International Associations and to the International University. We may, perhaps, interpret the Resolution of the Assembly as a tribute implicitly rendered to the two eminent workers in the cause of international union to which these institutions are due."

Yet the paragraph which preceded this praise also contained a reminder of the financial support extended to the UAI, inter alia by the Belgian Government and the Carnegie Endowment: two dangerous phases for establishing working relations between the League of Nations and the UAI. We believe it useful to quote the entire paragraph, because it is the only information we still have on the UAI's financial resources prior to 1914 owing to the destruction of the Union's administrative records during World War II.

"The cost of the work accomplished by Mr. La Fontaine and Mr. Otlet has amounted, since its beginning to approximately 1,200,000 francs. During the years immediately preceding the war, the Belgian Government and the Carnegie Peace Fund contributed annual subsidies of 20,000 and 50,000 francs respectively to the Union of International Associations and for the Office of Bibliography, and 15,000 dollars for the Union. After the war the Belgian Government granted a credit of 100,000 francs for the third World Congress, and met the cost of establishing the International Centre in the Palais du Cinquantenaire. But the financial situation of the Union and of the International Centre remains precarious. If they are to continue their work, it will be necessary to proceed to financial consolidation and the establishment of a working capital. It was proposed to allot, if necessary, a sum of 20 million francs out of the funds of the Belgian National Committee created during the war by Mr. Solvay, but for legal and political reasons the question has been left undecided. It must not be forgotten that the activity of the Institutions created by Mr. La Fontaine and Mr. Otlet hitherto owes its success to these two personalities and the question of future control is as great a cause of uncertainty as the question of material resources."
In actual fact, trends counter to the wishes of the UAI were beginning to come to the fore. When the war ended the desire of the UAI executives, and especially of its two Secretaries-General, was to see some intellectual organization set up within the League of Nations along similar lines to that already established for manual work, in the hope that it would support the international bodies already created by the UAI and that it would help in the creation of new ones, such as a World Scientific Research Institute, an International Bureau of Unit Standards, an International Patents Office, an International Institute for Social Progress — as is indicated in the very detailed draft convention for that organization, drawn up by the UAI early in 1921.

Already on 5th January 1919, a meeting of UAI delegates held in Paris laid down the terms of a memorandum addressed to the Peace Conference delegates containing a projected World Charter of Intellectual and Moral Interests. Mr. Paul Hymans, who represented Belgium at the Peace Conference, was the first to present intellectual co-operation as an important factor in the work to be accomplished by the League of Nations, and proposed that an International Committee on Intellectual Co-operation should be set up. But at that time his proposal was not adopted.

The 3rd World Congress of International Associations, held in Brussels in August 1920, debated and worked out the plan for an organization of intellectual activity to be implemented in co-operation with the League of Nations.

The UAI also convened an International Congress on Intellectual Activities, which took place from 20th to 22nd August 1921. This congress examined the draft statutes of an International Confederation of Intellectual Workers which was effectively set up in Paris on 8th April 1923. On a proposal by Adolphe Ferrière, it expressed the hope that "in liaison with the League of Nations and the proposed Intellectual Activities Organization, an International Educational Bureau should be set up for the comparative analysis of information on modern teaching methods", which was founded in December 1925 as a non-governmental organization, and later became an inter-governmental organisation on 25th July 1929. After recalling the work done by the UAI's International Centre, the congress also asked "that the League of Nations should give its consideration to the practical accomplishments already recorded, and transform them into a technical organization similar to those it has set up for Labour and Health, and the operation of which is illustrated in the project prepared by the Union of International Associations". But in their turn, the French Association for the League of Nations had become interested in a similar project, and during its session of 21st June 1921, had expressed the hope that an International Bureau of Intellectual Relationships and Education should be created, appending a draft of the statutes to its request.

During its session of 13th December 1920, the League of Nations Assembly had sent another proposal back to its 2nd Commission for study, concerning the "creation of an International intellectual organization. This was submitted by Messrs. Poullet (Belgium), Negulesco (Rumania) and Ferraris (Italy). It had designated Henri La Fontaine as rapporteur.

The paramount influence of Mr. Léon Bourgeois, French representative on the League of Nations Council, in the debates on intellectual co-operation, brought the dual views formulated by the UAI and the French Association to a successful conclusion — mentioning these bodies in its report — but reorientated the programme on the creation of a Commission with its headquarters in Paris.

To sum up briefly, we shall recall merely that the League of Nations Council adopted Mr. Léon Bourgeois's report on 2nd September 1921. This proposed the creation of a "Commission for the study of international questions pertaining to Intellectual Co-operation and Education, which would draw up a report on the measures which the League might take in order to facilitate intellectual exchanges amongst nations". Later, the word Education was deleted, and on 4th January 1922 the Council decided to set up the International Commission on Intellectual Co-operation.

During its first meeting in Geneva on 1st August 1922, this body elected its chairman, choosing the French philosopher Henri Bergson. In 1924, for the purpose of proceeding to the implementation stage, it was proposed that an International Institute for Intellectual Co-operation be set up. On 24th July 1924 the French Government offered to house this Institute in Paris, and bound itself to endow it with its own budget of an annual amount of 2 million French francs. This proposal was accepted, and from 1925 to 1946 the International Institute for Intellectual Co-operation, installed in the Palais-Royal, to some extent materialized the wish formulated by Paul Valéry: "A League of Nations presupposes a League of Intellecits".

The Unesco constitution, adopted in London on 16th November 1945 by 43 States, put an end to the Institute's activities.

It was necessary to recall the circumstances surrounding the foundation of the International Institute for Intellectual Co-operation, not only to underline the part played by the UAI in its creation but also because of the veritable shattering of the UAI's work as a result of that Institute's activities. The latter included in its programme all sorts of tasks which were part and parcel of the UAI's activities, and caused the displacement from Brussels to Paris of the hub of the international intellectual movement.

Thus, to give but one example, it happened that already on 10th December 1925, the first meeting of representatives of 19 international associations took place in Paris on the premises of the International Institute for Intellectual Cooperation. On the initiative of a Frenchman, Mr. André Waltz, and an American woman, Mrs. Laura Barney, they decided to create a Joint Committee of the Major International Associations. This worked very closely with the Institute and, in fact, vanished with it.

The League of Nations certainly continued to display an interest in the UAI's work for several years. It granted a certain degree of patronage to the first three sessions of the International University, founded by the UAI in September 1920; it allocated a subsidy of £1,500 sterling for the publication in 1923, under its aegis, of the first volume of the "Code des Vœux Internationaux", a work of 942 pages.

But three decisions made by the League of Nations had adverse consequences for the UAI. The first was the creation of an International Bureau Section
in the League of Nations Secretariat itself; the second was the publication, by that section, of a quarterly bulletin containing information on the work of the international organizations, which appeared regularly from 1922 to 1939; and the third was another publication by the section, from 1921 onwards, of a Handbook of International Organizations.

These initiatives virtually deprived the UIA of every opportunity of continuing to publish its own magazine and yearbook on a satisfactory basis. And to underline the historical irony of the matter, let us add that the League of Nations began by publishing a simple "List of International Unions, Associations, Institutions, Commissions, Bureaux, etc.", containing a preface signed on 4th November 1919 by Mr. Inazo Nitobe, Director of the Section of International Bureaux of the League of Nations. The two first paragraphs of the preface read as follows:

"The work connected with the formation of International Associations was carried on actively and increasingly up to the beginning of the war, when over 500 were in existence, but at the outbreak of hostilities their activities necessarily slackened and in some cases ceased altogether. With the return of peace, it is to be hoped that most of them will resume their work. As so little is generally known of organizations working for international co-operation and good will, the Union of International Associations in Brussels has been asked for permission to reprint in a tabular form the names of such associations. A detailed account of their constitutions and activities is given in the "Annuaire de la Vie Internationale". Some of the associations mentioned have ceased to exist; of others no recent report can be obtained. The list is based mainly on information collected in 1911, but a certain number of additions have been made of associations which have since come into existence, or are about to do so in the near future.

"Senator La Fontaine and M. Otlet, Directors of the Office of International Associations, have kindly revised the list, and it is due to their indefatigable labours that the list is as complete as it is."

In the following chapters dealing with the UIA's setbacks and achievements, we shall briefly record what was done and attempted between the two world wars, and shall consequently restrict ourselves here to recalling some of its meetings.

The 3rd Congress of International Associations, attended by about a hundred of them, was held in Brussels from 5th to 20th September 1920, at the same time as the first session of the International University. The latter held its second session from 20th August to 15th September 1921, and the third from 20th August to 5th September 1922, at the same time as a Conference for the Development of the World Palace was being held from 20th to 22nd August. The 4th session took place from 17th to 31st July 1927, the venue in all cases being Brussels.

The 4th Congress of International Associations took place at Geneva University on 8th and 9th September 1924, under the presidency of Edouard Claparède and Henri La Fontaine, and was attended by about fifty international organizations. The League of Nations delegated its Deputy Secretary, Mr. Nitobe, to the meeting. A 7th Congress was also held from 17th to 19th July 1927. Nevertheless, owing to conflicting initiatives, financial difficulties and too many projects that aroused some alarm, Henri La Fontaine and Paul Otlet became more and more isolated in their work. With extraordinary tenacity, they kept on in spite of all, while the number of their assistants dwindled; and right up to the outbreak of World War II they continued with their wholly admirable documentary work.

Henri La Fontaine died on 14th May 1943, leaving his fortune and his library in two equal shares to the UIA and the International Peace Bureau, thus giving an ultimate proof of his faith in the ideals for which he had striven throughout his entire life. Paul Otlet died on 10th December 1944.

3rd phase

Just after World War II, the Minister of Justice appointed a lawyer, Jules Polain, as temporary administrator because of the La Fontaine bequest and also the existence of the substantial documentation belonging to the UIA. During the war, the latter had been settled in the residence of the British Ambassador in Brussels by the Belgian authorities who, despite the protests of La Fontaine and Otlet, had also appointed an administrator and attempted to revive the UIA. The work was reduced to documentary activities and the publications, in 1943, of three issues of a "Bulletin of International Associations".

Mr. Polain considered that an attempt should be made to reorganize the UIA, and he set up a Provisional Committee under the chairmanship of Minister of State Paul van Zeeland. The following were members: Mr. Leimgüer, Chancellor of the Swiss Confederation; Ed. Lesoir, Secretary-General of the International Institute of Administrative Sciences; O. Louwers, Secretary-General of the International Colonial Institute; F. Musul, Belgian Ambassador; E. Vinck, Director-General of the International Union of Local Authorities; these were later joined by Count Henri Carton de Wiart and Mr. Jacques Rueff.

This committee, of which Mr. Polain (who died on 31st December 1951) remained Provisional Administrator, decided early in 1948 that the UIA should resume its work. They entrusted the author of this article, in October 1948, with the task of getting the UIA Secretariat back on an operative footing. Thanks to the Municipal Administration of Brussels, premises were found in the Egmont Palace, and in January 1949 the first issue of a monthly magazine came off the press.

To the problems of reorganization of the documentation department and the administrative posts were added others which were more difficult to resolve. A new situation had been created owing to the granting, by the United Nations Charter, of a consultative status to non-governmental organizations (NGO) and the creation of an Interim Committee of Non-Governmental Organizations, the Chairman of which was Mr. Howard Wilson, Director of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

In Geneva, Paris and New York, some people did not conceal their long-nourished prejudice against the "Brussels Union". It was suggested that while
Brussels certainly had been a very important centre of international affairs prior to 1914, this was no longer the case and the headquarters of the UAI should therefore be set up in one of the three great international cities just mentioned.

An important meeting took place in Brussels on 20th July 1949 as a result of the contacts established the previous April in New York and Geneva with United Nations officials in charge of relations with the NGO's, during which the members of the Interim Committee discussed with them the structure and programme of the UAI. Held in the offices of the UAI in the Egmont Palace, the meeting was attended by Minister of State Paul van Zeeland, Chairman of the UAI, Mr. Howard Wilson, Chairman of the Interim Committee of Non-Governmental Organizations; Baron de Gruben, Secretary-General of the Belgian Foreign Ministry; Miss Anne Winslow of the Carnegie Endowment and Mr. Max Habicht, a Geneva lawyer, acting as experts on behalf of the Interim Committee; Mr. Aake Ording of Oslo, formerly director of the United Nations Appeal for Children; Mr. Jules Polain, and myself.

By mutual agreement, it was considered preferable not to attempt to rebuild the UAI into a federation of international associations, but nevertheless to retain its title and its programme as a centre for documentation, study, service, and the promotion of closer relations between international associations, and to leave the question of technical and administrative problems resulting from the consultative relationship between the United Nations and the NGO's to the conferences organized by the consultative NGO's and to the Permanent Liaison Committee of the consultative NGO's which was to be set up.

The executives of the UAI voiced their intention of recruiting members of all nationalities, largely but not exclusively among the directors of international associations and elected in their personal capacity.

They asked Mr. Aake Ording to devote himself for a few months, in the capacity of Executive Vice-Chairman, to the development of the UAI programme and structure, on account of the interest he had already shown in 1948 in the creation of an international centre that could render service to the NGO's.

A draft series of new statutes for the UAI was adopted by the UAI Executive Council on 6th September 1950. It provided for new categories of members: individual members who alone had voting rights, the number of which was limited to 100, corresponding organizations, associate members.

The first post-war General Assembly was held on 5th February 1951 in Brussels. It adopted the new statutes (which were later modified on 10th June 1955 and on 10th May 1965), proceeded with the election of some fifty new members of various nationalities and with the appointment of new members of the Executive Council. These were Léon Jouhaux, Sir Ramaswami Mudaliar, and Sir Harry Gill.

This General Assembly marked the end of the first stage in the reorganization of the UAI.

It was consolidated by the fact that the UAI had just overcome a rather serious risk, namely: the project emanating from the United Nations Secretariat concerning the proposed publication of a Yearbook of International Organizations, when the UAI had already resumed its former activity in that direction and was about to bring out a new edition in June 1950. The risk was great of finding ourselves back in the same situation as the UAI had experienced when the League of Nations embarked on a similar venture. But this time the danger was averted. In fact Mr. Walter Kotschnig, United States representative on the Economic and Social Council, proposed a resolution, seconded by the Indian delegate Sir R. Ramaswami Mudaliar and adopted as Resolution 334 (XII) on 20th July 1950 by the United Nations Economic and Social Council. This pays tribute first to the work and yearbook of the UAI and goes on to express the hope that the Secretary-General will offer the Union of International Associations as much information and co-operation as possible; and “Decides not to give any further consideration, at this time, to the publication by the United Nations of a handbook concerning non-governmental organizations”.

At the same period, the UAI was also in danger of seeing Unesco duplicate its yearbook by the publication of a Directory of International Scientific Organizations which included no less than 400 bodies. Luckily the second edition in 1953 was also the last.

To conclude quickly this question of the establishment of relations between the UAI and the United Nations, let us add that on 18th September 1951, the UAI was raised to the status of a consultative body to the Economic and Social Council. Consultative status with Unesco was granted in 1952, and later on, several contracts brought Unesco's aid for bibliographical work and also for a study of NGO's. Two other decisions in principle confirmed and consolidated the UAI's good relations with the United Nations.

During its 16th session (1953) the Economic and Social Council received the following recommendation from the Committee of the Council in charge of Non-Governmental Organizations: "The Committee unanimously expressed its appreciation of the value and usefulness of the Yearbook of International Organizations published by the United Nations International Associations. Members voiced the hope that the work of the United Nations would become even better known both to the public and to Member States and that its continuation would be secured" (UN Doc E/2489). Then, dealing with Resolution 128 B (VI) dated 10th March 1948 by the Economic and Social Council, requesting the compilation of a list of inter-governmental bodies so that the Council could examine whether there was not some duplication of effort or dispersion of energies by those bodies, the Secretary-General of the United Nations, in a note dated 17th November 1955 (E/2808), proposed that the Council, should it decide as in the past to undertake a general review of the structures of inter-governmental organizations, should base its work on the Yearbook of the International Associations.

Relations between the UAI and the other inter-governmental organizations and with international non-governmental organizations developed harmoniously from then on.

More than a hundred of articles written by them were published in its monthly magazine, entitled successively "Monthly Bulletin of the Union of International Associations" (1949 to 1950), "NGO Bulletin" (1951 to 1953) and "International Associations" (since 1954), which increased from 16 to 64 pages and sometimes more, and from January 1954 on, has been illustrated.
Without aiming to list here all the publications issued by the UAI, let us mention simply the launching of new series apart from the Yearbook of International Organizations and the monthly magazine:


A special note, because it illustrates certain dangers, should be made of the calendar of forthcoming international meetings, published by the UAI from its foundation and resumed in January 1949.

Indeed, an unfortunate initiative by the Library of Congress in Washington did some harm to the UAI and might in the long run have forced the latter to discontinue publishing its calendar despite the connection between this work and the production of the Yearbook of International Organizations, the Bibliography of Proceedings, and the magazine. In June 1959, the Library brought out the first issue of the World List of Future International Meetings, a calendar almost identical to that of the UAI. The decision was made with no prior consultation with the UAI in spite of excellent relations previously. Luckily, that publication ended with the September 1969 issue, without the UAI being informed on this occasion either.

The UAI viewpoint regarding this venture was explained in the following commentary published in its November 1969 magazine:

"We expressed the fear that other analogous initiatives at a national level would lead to complete disorder in information on international meetings, that such publications would be based on decisions at a national level only, totally unaffected by the requirements of an international documentation system. Our major fear concerned the need for continuity and the protection of the independence of such a publication from the consequences of abrupt changes in policy within one country."

Apart from publishing, we shall sum up farther on the UAI's working programme. Here we shall just mention that after the first post-war general assembly held in Brussels on 5th February 1951, the subsequent ones took place in Brussels on 8th September 1952, during which Senator Etienne de la Vallée Poussin was elected President; in Paris on 8th March 1953; in Paris on 9th and 10th June 1955; in Brussels on 3rd and 4th September 1958; in Lausanne on 14th March 1960; in Brussels on 10th November 1964; in Rome on 16th November 1962; in Copenhagen on 30th March 1966, during which Mr. F.A. Casado was elected President. The next assembly will be held in Barcelona on 6th May 1970.

Coupled with exchanges of views between international associations on points of mutual interest, they mark the various stages in the development — aloof from political problems and disruptions — of an organization and programme orientated towards positive action as a centre for documentation, study, services and promotion, covering the precisely defined and fairly large field of international organizations, meetings and publications.

During the last two years, the problem of computerization has arisen and that of the forthcoming introduction of yet a third category of international organizations — that of the profit-making transnational companies. Much attention and time has been devoted to examining and preparing for a modernization of working methods and a consequent adaptation of structures and programmes implemented by the UAI, bearing in mind the needs and new dimensions of international affairs.

The year 1971 will usher in a fourth period in the UAI's existence, as indicated by the articles written by Mr. Robert Fenaux and Mr. Anthony Judge, to be found elsewhere in this issue.

III. THE SETBACKS

In view of the rather extraordinary part played by the UAI during a period that constitutes a milestone in history — for it was that of the preliminary organization of a new civilization based on international bodies — we believe it is interesting to look at the period starting with its 1910 congress in Brussels and ending with its 1924 congress in Geneva and to see, within the framework of the UAI's general objectives as defined at the start of this article, which of its aims ended in failure.

We can only list them here as space is lacking for lengthy comments and explanations. We shall mention these objectives, insofar as possible, by quoting from a text drawn up by Henri La Fontaine or Paul Otlet who were undoubtly the genuine authors of the projects, at a time when there was no League of Nations, no international school, no World Trade Centre, nor even any Congress Palace or Centre for the study of international relations, the oldest of which — the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London — was founded in 1920.

The Dual Society

"As human relations and interests are grouped into divisions that are territorial in character (States) or according to similar purposes or functions (Associations), the International Organization, to bear this in mind, must be a dual one:

a) the organization of States among themselves: after grouping the interests based on the territories they administer (national interests), they must conceive and settle their interests between them by regarding themselves as members of a League of Nations;

b) the organization of international associations among themselves: after the grouping and federation, each in its own field, of the same specialized interests all over the world (universal interests), they must envisage relations between all those interests and functions, and form themselves into a confederation: the Union of International Associations."
"These two organizations, while distinct and separate from each other, must multiply their relations and direct their efforts towards a single organization that will achieve a balance between the forces concerned by giving each a proportional share of representation and authority in the exercise of those functions essential to the management of great collective interests: deliberation and legislation, jurisdiction and arbitration, execution and administration. A Constitution or World Charter should be its expression." (UAI Publication No. 56, 1913, p. 11).

The International University

This term was launched in 1911 in a booklet of the Central Bureau, in a chapter entitled "The Organization of an International Form of Education".

"The International University is aimed at uniting, in one high educational and cultural movement that is universal in scope, all universities and international associations. It should permit a certain number of students to complete their training through initiation into the international, comparative aspects of all great problems." (UAI publication No. 98, 1921, page 71).

The first session took place in Brussels from 5th to 20th September 1920. Fifty professors from 11 countries confronted about 100 students from over 10 countries, and gave them 106 hours of lectures broken down into 53 classes and conferences; the League of Nations and 13 international associations had special Chairs. During the second session which took place from 20th August to 5th September 1921, 69 professors dealt with 76 subjects during 174 classes and lectures.

At that period, the International University was an autonomous body whose members comprise 15 universities, 346 university professors belonging to 23 countries, and the international associations which occupied 23 chairs at the 1921 session.

The International University virtually ceased its activities after the third session, also held in Brussels from 20th August to 3rd September 1922 (90 lectures by 60 professors from 16 countries) despite a fourth session held in 1927.

The International Library

"We have spoken above about the scope and importance of the permanent investigation which the Central Bureau has organized with particular reference to the facts of international affairs. Such an investigation is inconceivable unless it can rest on absolutely accurate documentation.

"This documentation has necessitated the creation of a Bibliography of Internationalism, a Directory of Documentary Files, and an International Library. The latter will contain not only all publications issued by international organizations, but also all those which tend to solve or facilitate the solution of problems which are international in character." (UAI publication No. 15, 1911, p. 11).

This objective was implemented in part, as shown by the memorandum of the Secretary-General of the League of Nations dated 1921, and mentioned earlier on. From 1897-1910 the International Office of Bibliography organized four international Conferences on Bibliography and published a code of rules, which has been adopted by a thousand public and private establishments in various countries.

"The foundation-stone being thus laid, M. La Fontaine and Otel set up at Brussels a group of new institutions, a genuine centre of international documentation. The Universal Index of Bibliography ("Répertoire bibliographique universel") includes to-day more than 12,000,000 cards. The International Archives ("Archives internationales") which at present contain more than a million documents, and are kept up-to-date, constitute a genuine documentary encyclopedia of contemporary international activities, especially as regards scientific, technical and sociological questions. The International Library ("Bibliothèque internationale"), formed by the amalgamation of more than sixty libraries belonging to various international institutions established in Brussels, now possesses more than 100,000 volumes and includes the majority of publications of international interest."

International Museum

"The aim of the International Museum is to show the progress accomplished in all subjects in the field of international organizations and the importance, from the scientific and social standpoint, of the outstanding happenings connected with the organization."

"If we consider that all the thoughts, all the institutions, all the tools, everything that mankind has conceived, formulated and achieved can be used as museum material, planned from an international and comparative viewpoint, it is easy to realize the scope that can be given to the International Museum." (UAI No.15, 1911, pages 51 and 53).

A temporary exhibition was organized on the occasion of the first World Congress of International Associations in 1910 when the progress made in all fields of international organization, as well as the needs from which they arose, were illustrated by graphic and statistical charts. This exhibition was the forerunner of an International Museum which, in 1920, occupied some forty rooms and contained about 14,000 exhibits and documents. It was divided into five parts: 1) historical; 2) geographic; 3) scientific and technical; 4) procedure; 5) a comprehensive outline of international life. A fairly large number of governments contributed to the national sections of the geographical part of the Museum.

In addition to the general catalogue of the Museum, a catalogue of the International Administration Museum was published in 1910, of the bibliography and documentation section in 1912, on the child welfare section in 1914; documents on the International Education Museum in 1913, on the establishment of a Technical Museum in 1914.

At the congress held in June 1913, it was stated that between June 1912 and May 1913, the International Museum had 10,487 visitors.
The World Palace — The International City

Amongst the resolutions adopted in 1913 by the Second World Congress of International Associations in which took part delegates of 169 international associations, that is, almost half the total number, as well as the representatives of 22 governments, appears the following:

"There is a need to develop on the basis of co-operation, neutrality and practical utility the International Centre, its services, meetings, work, publications and collections, along the lines of the plan drawn up by the Central Bureau and which has already been put into some degree of execution: Association offices, library, bibliography, archives, museum, study and instruction, common library, translation and secretarial services.

The International Centre is divided into national sections and special or comparative sections.

The services and collections of the International Centre should be installed in a Palace worthy of the importance of the Associations which created it through their own efforts, and which may become the point of departure for a group of other international buildings (international City). In this connection, an appeal must be made to governments and wealthy patrons for their assistance as well as to the associations themselves" (UAI publication No. 56, 1913, p. 35). The President of the Congress, Minister of State Cooreman who was also the Chairman of the first International Congress of Administrative Sciences stated on this point in his opening speech:

"In the final analysis, Gentlemen, the World Palace is intended to become a common home of all the international associations; this hospitable mansion should therefore be international in character, interest and utilization. Does it not therefore seem both rational and just that the financial contribution to its establishment, arrangement and maintenance should also be international?

Gentlemen, you well know what suspicion and war cost a nation; you know how all people sigh for the lightening of their intolerable burden and the heavy fidelity which weighs upon them. You also know the demands of the present situation and the serious difficulties which have arisen in the path of the pacifist movement. Would it not be more comforting to the universal conscience to see legislators and governments meeting from one country to another and collaborate in building this World Palace which is destined to become the hearth of this work of concord, rapprochement and co-operation known as the Union of International Associations.

It is unnecessary to add that, to sit down at this hearth, nobody — no person, no government, no nation — would have to abdicate one jot or tittle of their personality, independence or liberty. The hearth would be wide enough to shelter both the autonomy of each and the solidarity of all." (UAI publication No. 56, 1913, page 36).

In fact, the project was already an old one, and had been stimulated in 1907 by Andrew Carnegie's offer to build the Peace Palace in The Hague.
On 21st December 1907, at the inauguration of the new headquarters of the Central Office at No. 3bis, rue de la Régence, in Brussels, Baron Descamps, Minister of Sciences and Arts in Belgium, past-president and "rapporteur" of the Committee of Arbitration at the first Peace Conference at The Hague in 1899, confirmed in his speech the Belgian government’s project for a constitution for the future palaces to be erected on the Mont des Arts and its intention to reserve premises for international institutions in the building complex which was intended to be as big as the Brussels Palais de Justice and for which the model and the plans had already been made.

A certain amount of rivalry for the title “International Capital” was already apparent between The Hague, Brussels and Berne which were in 1907 the three main host-towns for international organizations, in spite of the declarations on the need for a “functional centralization” rather than a “material centralization”.

We cannot recall here all the phases of the persistent efforts made chiefly by Paul Otlet, aimed at the fulfilment of the project for a World Palace and that of an “extra-territorial international city” as an “Integral Capital of the League of Nations”. “A new city to be created which would fill, in international life, the same function as that which Washington assigned to the town which bears his name, when he laid the foundations in the Federal District of Columbia” as Paul Otlet wrote in 1919 (publication UAI No. 88, 1919, page 27).

In this same pamphlet of 1919 — twenty years before Mussolini built the Rome congress centre, the first of its kind, Paul Otlet suggested the construction of permanent buildings within the framework of the “World Intellectual Centre” to serve the League of Nations, which would be ready to accommodate large meetings and would be equipped so as to cut down the expenses of these meetings, and make them more regular and more efficient.

From 1912 to 1932, these projects, centred first on Brussels, then on Geneva and Antwerp, were explained by the UAI in seventeen pamphlets. These contain detailed plans, established by architects of renown and of various countries, such as Hendrik Anderson and Hebrard (World Communication Centre in Brussels) in 1912; Francotte (The League of Nations Palace and the International Associations palace in the Park of Woluwe in Brussels) in 1920; Le Corbusier and P. Jeanneret (Mundaneum at Geneva in collaboration with Paul Otlet) in 1928.

A “skeleton” of the projected World Palace, comprising 100 rooms, existed in Brussels for a few years after the first World War. Sited in the park of the Cinquantenaire, in a structure put up in 1880 at the time of the 50th anniversary of the State of Belgium, on a plot of some 2½ acres, and completed for the 75th anniversary, this palace lodged the various services and establishments of the UAI mentioned above, as well as the headquarters of several other international associations.

Clearing Office

Another attempt, belonging to the third period, can also be mentioned quickly among the setbacks.

Despite the desire of the UAI executives to restrict the programme to what was possible, in 1950 it seemed desirable to find some way of solving the difficulties which the non-governmental international associations encountered with regard to their movements of capital at a time of currency exchange restrictions.

The constitution of a Clearing Office for the transfer of NGO funds, by opening a special UAI account at the Bank of International Settlements, seemed to provide a possible solution.

An agreement in principle to the opening of such an account was obtained, and on 4th May 1951 a circular and a questionnaire were sent out by the UAI to all the international associations. But by the time the system had been worked out and the prior agreement of the authorities in each country obtained, the exchange restrictions slackened and the project was abandoned.

* * *

For organizations and individuals alike, setbacks and failures are often instructive and sometimes praiseworthy.

They can be due sometimes to the choice of a wrong target or one that is premature, obsolete, too vast; they can be due to inadequate working methods, personal conflicts, and even more frequently to the insufficiency of material means.

As was the case for the 3rd Peace Conference, due to take place in The Hague in 1915, and the 3rd World Congress of International Associations scheduled for 1915 also in San Francisco, war too affects institutions and people alike.

Whatever the reason for the failure of the projects we have just enumerated, the latter cannot help but stimulate our ambition for the vision of international organization which the UAI founders already had some sixty years ago.

IV. THE ACHIEVEMENTS

In life there are visible things and invisible things, and the latter are often the more important.

For the UAI, as for many other bodies, dozens of pages would not be sufficient to relate, as a historian, the achievements — a word taken in the sense of effective activities, even though this term too might cover objectives that are impossible to achieve, which is quite another matter from setbacks or failures.

Indeed, just as parents pursue the impossible aim of bringing up perfect children, or as a gardener works endlessly to transform nature and discipline it to a fine orderly display, so the UAI has devoted a great deal of time and trouble to the advancement of the social order and to the speeding up of a process of evolution.
Some tangible achievements can certainly be noted; facts, names, dates and figures can be given. Prior to 1915 the UAI had published, over and above the 3,200 pages of its magazine "La Vie Internationale", exactly 94 books and booklets totalling 10,147 pages. Between the two wars, there were 59 books and booklets totalling 2,225 pages. Since it resumed work in October 1948, and up to the end of 1969, it had published 68 works totalling 19,874 pages apart from the 15,286 pages of its magazine "International Associations", with all that this volume entails of precise objectives, meetings of all kinds, collaboration with outside bodies, plus all the necessary teamwork at the production level.

But we are not seeking to draw up a balance sheet of UAI achievements: it would be as boring to compile as to read. We shall try in the course of a few brief lines to assess its action in favour of progress, and its influence on a process of evolution.

This puts one in mind of a thought expressed by Paul Claudel: "To be like a man carrying a candle, who illuminates the entire procession". This might have been said about the UAI:

1) "Although the Peace Conference of 1919 and the Covenant of the League of Nations gave no official recognition to the purposes of the Union of International Associations, one of the founders of the organization, Henri Lafontaine of Belgium, eloquently urged the First Assembly of the League to provide facilities for information and centres of collaboration for exchanging the intellectual work of all nations. Impressed by this argument, the Assembly turned the matter over to the Council, and on May 15th 1922 the Council appointed a twelve-man committee (later increased to fifteen) entitled the Intellectual Co-operation Committee."

This excerpt from a book by Gerard J. Mangone, A Short History of International Organization (1954), page 239, illustrates an initial series of achievements, namely: the suggestions or recommendations made by the UAI in its capacity as pioneer in those fields where no organized co-operation yet existed.

These recommendations, especially before 1914, often aimed at the creation of the necessary bodies which, once founded, quite often soon forgot those who had charted their route. There are many instances of this.

In other cases, they aimed at the need to consider an existing situation which had more or less escaped the attention of the authorities concerned. A fairly recent example is that of international congresses, the importance of which had to be stressed by the UAI in a number of written works and at meetings between 1950 and 1960 before it aroused the attention — which has today become almost over-exaggerated — of States and municipalities as well as professional and economic organizations.

A similar instance, but one which is still making its way, is the attention which the UAI has for several years been trying to attract to the importance, from every angle including the economic, to the host countries of welcoming the head-
quarters of international non-governmental organizations. Most of those
governments and municipalities still believe that they represent a burden;
in a few years from now they will be trying to attract them with every possible
blanishment, as is currently the case for international congresses. And then,
on the plane of material constructions, we shall witness the blossoming of
beautiful international houses alongside the congress centres, and on the plane
of legal developments, the enactment of legislation in favour of the juridical
entity of the international non-governmental organizations, those international
outlaws of our day.

Obviously the aim of the UAI in such promotional action — and this too
clarifies what it is doing and what it is seeking to do — is to contribute in
providing the international associations with all the instruments necessary
for their work.

2) A second group of achievements comprises the aid extended by the UAI
in the study of international relations, after deserving most of the credit for
having initiated it.

"In its immediate consequences, the most important contribution made by
the Union of International Associations was probably the impetus it gave to
the proper documentation of international studies," wrote Professor F.S. Lyons
of Dublin University in 1963, in his book "Internationalism in Europe 1815-1914"
(page 206).

It should be specified that since 1948, the UAI has intentionally restricted itself
to international organization in the proper sense.

Concerning the Yearbook of International Organizations, the magazine Interna-
tional Affairs wrote: "This is the only reference book giving reliable and
up-to-date information on both inter-governmental and non-governmental
international organizations"; Le Monde Diplomatique: "L'ouvrage se recom-
mande à tous les spécialistes de la politique internationale"; Yearbook of World
Affairs: "A godsend to administrators and their secretaries"; Die Tat: "Dem
Journalisten und Politiker ist das "Yearbook" ein unentbehrliches Nachschlage-
werk"; International Association of Universities Bulletin: "University circles
will particularly appreciate the vast documentation on international organiza-
tions in the innumerable scientific fields"; International Council of Scientific
Unions Bulletin: "Indispensable for all concerned with international work";
Ergonomics: "Every Embassy, Foundation, research council, library and Govern-
ment agency concerned with international affairs should have at least one
copy of the Yearbook for ready reference and a second copy for leisure moments."

Concerning the International Congress Calendar, the magazine Professional
Engineer states: "A must for any good industrial library" and the Indian and
Eastern Engineer writes: "The subject and geographical indexes which are
provided in the volume offer fascinating clues as to the variety of interests which
are catered for at international meetings, as well as to their world-wide distribu-
tion".

Concerning the Select Bibliography on International Organization, the apprecia-
tion of the Bulletin des Bibliothèques de France is as follows: "Un ouvrage de
références indispensable pour l'étude de l'organisation internationale".

We apologise for these quotations taken from among so many, but after having
indicated above the truly impressive number of works published by the UAI
it was also necessary to indicate that the quality of those works had met with
universal approval, as is also shown by the fact that nine-tenths of the UAI
budget is now covered by its publications.

This preliminary achievement in the sphere of studies connected with inter-
national organization, represented by the publication and distribution of the
reference books essential to those studies, demands considerable work and
it is impossible to overstate the competency and dedication of those who
amass, collate and compile the material.

So we should like to say how necessary it is that everyone should participate
in, support and even protect this work of documentation and information.
The time has indeed come to react against far too many counterfeit editions
of the UAI's reference books. Their authors, or rather their publishers, are
merely seeking cheap mercantile results, for it is certainly easy to insert notices
on international organizations which have been filed from the UAI Yearbook
in other directories that were formerly limited to listing cultural or educational
bodies, in national administrative yearbooks and other directories, or to publish
congress calendars lifted bodily from that of the UAI.

For the future, work is proceeding for the purpose of endowing the UAI with
the full capacity permitted by computerization, and this will enable the informa-
tion and documentation services already rendered to be increased enormously.
The article written by the man in charge of the project, Mr. Anthony Judge,
dispenses me from going into the matter here.

3) Apart from the permanent inventory of international organizations and
their work, the UAI programme involves a study of them.

As we shall see in a moment, this has largely centred on the legal, administrative
and technical problems common to international non-governmental organiza-
tions.

But it was also directed towards the general theory of international organization
and its sociology, as is sufficiently demonstrated in the first pages of this article.

In recent years, alongside studies pertaining to the structure and functioning
of international organizations, work has been done on their classification, their
role in society, relations between the inter-governmental bodies and the inter-
national non-governmental organizations, and on the future of the latter.

In 1956/1957, with financial aid from Unesco, the UAI set up a study group
for the purpose of establishing a plan for evaluating international non-govern-
mental organizations, and published the report written on this work by
Professor Jean Meynaud.

An effort has been made in favour of reforming university teaching on the
subject of international relations. The question of national participation
in the work of the INGO's also retained its attention, with particular emphasis
on the problem of participation by the newly independent African states. On
this subject Roger Savary, Secretary-General of the International Federation of Agricultural Producers, wrote in 1961: "The Sixties will see an extraordinary widening of the scope and activities of international co-operation, the scale of which has just been radically altered by the accession to independence of the African nations. But the participation of the governments of the new States in the work of official international authorities is now paving the way for that of their elite in the exchanges, so fruitful and so necessary, ensured by the private international associations. This organizational phenomenon at government level, which is not yet backed by close contacts between the citizens of the countries concerned, runs counter to the developments which led to the blossoming of international co-operation among the nations whose economic development is of longer standing... The search for a solution to these difficulties will place an even heavier burden on the UAI" (International Associations, January 1961, page 8).

We shall not linger over the type of UAI achievement which now embraces the study of transnational companies as the new third category of international organizations, since this aspect has been dealt with in other articles.

Yet we believe it is necessary to make three comments.

Firstly, the relations already established between the UAI and certain universities reveal some very interesting prospects, but also involve an extra burden of work for the UAI.

Secondly, relations could be developed between the UAI and the national centres of international relations, especially when the time comes for those centres to study not only the political and legal aspects of international affairs, but also their sociological and administrative angles.

Thirdly, in order to extend its programme of studies, to continue it in cooperation with the universities and international relations study centres, and to exploit the data which can be supplied by its future computerized information service, the UAI will have to find other sources of income.

At the end of 1950 Dr. René Sand wrote: "The science and technique of internationalism, international politics, international law, international economy, international mutual and, international health — all these, in order to develop on solid foundations, are waiting for the birth of an international sociology, psychology and biology of which as yet we do not possess even the rudiments. The UAI has great work to do in this respect".

Is not this notion still fully valid today?

4) By means of varied initiatives: studies, meetings, publications, recommendations, the UAI has helped the international non-governmental organizations to perfect their tools. This work, which no organization can carry out alone or which it would be ridiculous for each to attempt separately, dates back to the origins of the UAI and is still going on.

One of the first objectives which the UAI set itself was to obtain a legal international status for INGO’s. A draft international agreement was worked out by the UAI in 1910. In 1959 another project was submitted to Unesco, and the UAI’s collaboration was recently extended to the Council of Europe which is contemplating the possibility of establishing such a status for INGO’s at the European level.

In this connection, we should recall that the Belgian law of 25th October 1919 granting a legal entity to international scientific associations — the only piece after four years of efforts, we managed to get extended by the law of 6th December 1964 — was due to the efforts made from 1906 onwards by the founders of the UAI, who wished to see its scope of application internationalized in due course through the implementation of Article 9 of that law.

Another example of a completely different kind is the Colour Code of Language Classification, studied and proposed by the UAI in order to facilitate the pinpointing of working documents of international congresses. Regarding these, we should mention the five international congresses on congress organization, held between 1959 and 1970.

Among our publications and the articles which have appeared in “International Associations”, many are of a technical nature, such as the manuals dealing with congress organization and the INGO Administration Manual which is now in preparation. Studies have been made on the types of structures, publications, methods of disseminating the results accruing from the work of INGO’s, etc.

A special programme was put in hand in recent years regarding the staff of the international non-governmental organizations for the purpose of integrating them in a genuine career in the private “international civil service”. A study ending in practical recommendations was carried out two years ago in connection with an international complementary pension scheme for INGO staff. The first seminar for INGO executive training was held in Turin in October 1969.

Dr. John Rees, Director of the World Federation of Mental Health, wrote in 1958: "In the Union of International Associations, I see a technical, regulating organization in the international field. Its publications and meetings have made a substantial contribution to the accomplishment of this task. No other body has tackled it.”

5) The activities of the service centre deserve a mention, for requests for information, advice and help of all kinds take up quite a lot of the UAI’s time. They range from a mere telephone call to a stay lasting several weeks by research workers or students. They come from every possible source, both official and private, international and national, scientific or commercial. They involve equally varied work ranging from a letter, through an interview lasting several hours, to contracts covering nearly a month’s work.

It would be desirable to extend even further the activities of this sort of clearing-house or intermediary organization. We are thinking particularly of the part the UAI might play in advising university professors and students in search
of a thesis subject or other reference material on those questions which the international associations would like to see studied, and on which they have plenty of documentation but not the necessary university collaborators.

6) Before concluding this already long survey of the effective work of the UAI, I must mention another achievement — the most important of all to our mind, even though it belongs to what we might call the world of invisible things.

This is the consolidation, and we might even say the defence of the international private sector, which may come to be the main support of the national private sectors to an increasing extent.

The root of the problem has been sufficiently defined in the article by Mr. Etienne de la Vallée Poussin and by what I myself said at the beginning of this very article concerning the general aims of the UAI.

By the whole range of its work of documentation, studies, service and promotion, throughout the whole span of the last sixty years, it has attempted to disseminate a better knowledge and understanding of the scope and value of the international private sector, to study its weaknesses as well to develop its effectiveness, increase the use of the INGO's technical competence and influence on public opinion, to underline the complementary character of the public and private sectors, and to promote co-operation between them.

Furthermore, special publications have been issued by the UAI for this purpose; for instance, in 1960, "International Co-operation and You" by Mr. Louis Verniers, which appeared in four languages; in 1962 "Freedom of Association — a study of the role of international non-governmental organizations in the development process of emerging countries", by James E. Knott.

A project involving a poster for UN Headquarters, designed to visualize the INGO's contribution to the work of the United Nations was put forward by the UAI in December 1959 with the help of the artist Jacques Lendor.

The UAI stimulated and then helped with the compilation of memoranda and university theses on INGO's, and with having them reviewed in studies on international organization.

Today, it may be said that every new book dealing with international organization uses the information and statistics published by the UAI, and quite often reflects its point of view on the role of INGO's, which were hardly ever mentioned in any works that appeared between 1925 and 1960.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Without the UAI's work, both recent and in the past, the international non-governmental organizations would not, as a group, occupy the important place they now have in the thinking of research workers and of the officials responsible for public international affairs.
If the UAI did not exist, it would have to be created. Since it exists, it must be able to secure the means necessary for its present work and its future requirements.

For a long time many international associations did not grasp the fact that they had so much in common that it was essential for a body like the UAI to exist. In this, they reflected a trend of thought current in the outside world. Many people thought that there was no common denominator or reason for associations pursuing different aims and active in different fields to co-operate with each other.

This stage is more or less behind us now, and everybody is aware that the same rule applies to the group formed by the international associations as it does to other groups: those of manual workers, industrialists, traders, etc. — a group which has a definite function in human society, along with a common methodology and future despite the internal diversity of its work, output and members. But should we not look even farther ahead, in view of the prospects and possible developments bound up with the internationalization of this world of ours and the structures and circuits which may develop in consequence?

At the crossroads between the individual, the power of the great international bureaucracies of the family of nations, and the might of the giant transnational business enterprises, the international non-governmental associations — the working or middle classes of international co-operation — may, if they secure the indispensable support of the States, transnational companies and foundations, become the initiators of genuine progress in an environment which must remain human.

As is stressed in the working document of the Conference of International Associations held by the UAI in Geneva on 8th and 9th September 1924:

"Generally speaking, the International Associations are called upon to play the same part in international affairs as the National Associations play in the internal affairs of each nation...

"International affairs are quite another thing from diplomatic negotiations and the often perilous competition of powers. They are also compounded of the vast activity of mankind — individuals, groups and nations — activity on such a scale that it cannot be confined within the narrow limitations of political boundaries...

"In every age, progress has been due to the convergent action of three dynamic forces: the greatly gifted individuals who discover and create; the free groups which organize and stimulate; and the official authorities who, acting through their administrative offices, generalize and impose those measures. It seems that every civilization which seeks to disregard one of those three forces will not progress but fall behind..."