**VISUALIZATION OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL NETWORK - THE UAI AS AN INTERNATIONAL DATA BANK (*)**

by Anthony J.N. JUDGE,
Assistant Secretary-General, Union of International Associations.

"The most probable assumption is that every single one of the old demarcations, disciplines, an
calities is going to become obsolete and a barrier to learning as well as to understanding. The
fact that we are shifting from a Cartesian view of the universe, in which the accent has been on parts
and elements, to a configuration view, with the emphasis on wholes and patterns, challenges every
single dividing line between areas of study and knowledge." (P.F. Drucker, The Age of Discontinuity; guidelines to our changing society. (1)

**Introduction**

Since its creation in 1910, the idea governing the programmes of the UAI has been to use information in such a way as to maintain and disseminate a comprehensive overall view of world society — "une perspective d'ensemble". This has always meant remaining open to information from every sector of human activity across ideological and other barriers — a stance which is in itself extremely rare in a period of increasing specialization. The amount of information has, however, created pressure to concentrate not on the documents produced — a static focus on the past — but on the producers of the documents and programmes — a dynamic focus on the present and potential future. This then led the UAI to concentrate on international bodies — both governmental and nongovernmental — as the potential focal points for the co-ordination of activity or the exchange of information, and thus the key to a balanced view of world society.

The information collected was first made available in the *Yearbook of International Organizations* (2) and other publications and this procedure has been continued since the Second World War (3). Since 1945, however, two significant trends have developed to the point where an entirely new look at the UAI's role and possibilities is necessary.

The first of these is the considerable increase in the amount and degree of interrelatedness of the information necessary to an adequate "vue d'ensemble", for which the traditional manual documentalist approach is almost completely inadequate. These developments and some associated social problems are explored in the next sections together with UAI plans for a computer-based information centre. The second is the incredible development in the technology of information processing and computers which not only offers the key to the solution of the UAI's traditional difficulties, but also opens up exciting vistas of totally unsuspected and much more powerful methods of conveying the "vue d'ensemble" in a more dynamic integrated way. It suggests means of using this perspective more skillfully as one key to many important problems in society. Some of these possibilities are explored in later sections.

**Organization Complexity**

Over the past twenty years the number of organizations concerned with a given subject or problem area has increased considerably (4). The growth in the number of independent organizations has been paralleled by a fragmentation within them as their size has grown. This has led to a proliferation of agencies, commissions, divisions and sub-sections (5). Accompanying these trends is an uncharted growth in the variety of forms of organized activity, which is particularly evident in business enterprises and in mixed business-government-research bodies.

Within and between large organizations, sub-section structures ramify to the point of overlap (6).

These developments have a direct impact on the treatment of data about organizations and their activities within the world system. The value of grouping organizations into neat categories, based haphazardly on out-of-date concepts becomes highly questionable.

Some examples of the superficiality of conventional distinctions are: a small "organization" meeting rarely with few activities and a regular "meeting" of a large number of people; the tendency of "programmes" to be transformed into "organizations" as in the case of the World Food Programme; the variation in the meaning of "profit" and "non-profit" organizations from country to country, and even from state to state (within the USA); the variation in the meaning of "international" to include bodies with 99% of their membership from one country, "national" bodies acting internationally, bodies with members in three small European countries, but to exclude "national" bodies with members in all the constituent republics of the USSR; the variation of the meaning of "intergovernmental" to exclude Interpol, the Bildeberg Group and "non-governmental" (7).

(*) A French version of this article is being published in the journal *Synthèses* (June 1970). English, French, Flemish, German, Italian and Spanish versions are appearing in the series *Textes et Documents* published by the "Ministère des Affaires Etrangères et du Commerce Extérieur" of the Belgian Government.


(2) *Annuaire de la Vie Internationale* publié avec le concours de l'Institut International de Bibliographie et l'Institut International de la Paix, par H. Fried, H. La Fontaine et P. Osles, 1908-1909, 1370 p., 1ère édition (publ. n° 3).


(3) *Annuaire des Organisations Internationales*, 1950, 902 p., 3ème édition (publ. n° 46).


(*) No information exists on the total number of organizations. From 1950 to 1968 the number of international bodies increased from 718 to 3,195 (United Nations bodies 28, other intergovernmental bodies 203, international nonprofit bodies 2577; together with 2819 multinational business enterprises). This represents a 4.5% increase per year in governmental bodies giving 855 in the year 2000, and a 5.0% increase per year in nongovernmental nonprofit bodies giving 5,600 in the year 2000.

(4) As an example, the U.S.A. Federal Government has 13 Congressional Committees, 90 programs, 26 quasi-governmental bodies and 14 inter-agency committees dealing with environmental questions. At the international level, no information exists on the number of bodies within the United Nations structure.

(5) Consider the overlap in the responsibilities between ministries within a government or between the specialized agencies within the United Nations structure.
“front” organizations, but to include organizations grouping representatives of the constituent states of the USA (1); and the existence of “nongovernmental” organizations in the socialist countries.

Furthermore, under certain conditions a governmental body, or journal, etc. may be performing the functions of nongovernmental, or business bodies, etc. in other situations (7). In addition, organizations may become from year to year more or less governmental, profit-oriented, international, etc., depending on fluctuation in membership, sources of finance, nationality of decision-makers, choice of programme, etc.

The ease with which thinking is trapped into one or two of these categories has important consequences. Current official use of “international” to mean “inter-governmental” leads people into “the elementary error of identifying the state with the whole hierarchy of social institutions” (5).

The majority of international relations research has swung onto intergovernmental relations whilst ignoring other possible interactions between nations and their citizens. A survey of research in the period 1950-1969 showed that 66% dealt with the United Nations (28 agencies), 85% with intergovernmental organizations (229 bodies), 14% with international non-governmental bodies (2577 bodies), and 0% with international business organizations (2819 bodies). No research dealt with the relations between organizations (9). The situation at the national level is no better (6).

Whilst the conventional categories may be perfectly adequate for conventional problems over a short period of time, a new problem may require a cross-category grouping of organizations or other types of structure. The flux of problems requires new ways of looking at this “organized complexity”. It becomes necessary to take the emphasis off the conventional concept of an organization as an isolated unit and place it on the web of relationships into which an organization is embedded (8). The problem to be solved is that of designing a data bank to reflect this level of complexity.

---

(1) For example, the Intergovernmental Task Force on Information Systems.
(2) The existence of a journal with a network of subscribers may avoid the need for an organization with members. Eurochem is an intergovernmental business organization.
(8) “...technology has made human society into a seamless web, with mutual interrelationships that can be disentangled only at peril of losing touch with reality.” V. Ferkiss. Technological Man: “the myth and the reality”. London, Heinemann. 1969, p. XII.

An abstract idea reflected in a technical accomplishment --- discussion of "organizational networks" must lead to discussion of "computers"
Network Concept

The first difficulty to be faced is that due to the educational background supplied by Cartesian thinking, few mental models exist to contain the shifting patterns of organized activity evoked in the last section (4). It is so much easier to simplify the situation, ignoring inconvenient organizations or vaguely understood relationships, so that it may be handled with the aid of a small number of categories.

There is however one fairly common concept which evokes the complexity required, whilst lending itself at the same time to mathematical treatment and computer processing methods. This is the concept of a network (5). Just as a fishing net is made up of strings crossing at knots, it is possible to visualize each organized entity as being represented by a node (knot) linked or related to other nodes in a complex network. The links (strings) may be flows of information, funds, goods, or more concrete in the form of telephone lines or roadways. The nodes may be in the most general sense any information processing entities such as organizations, programmes, individuals, bibliographies, etc. Unlike fishing nets, the organizational network is not flat or two-dimensional but is very definitely multidimensional. It is useful to think of organized entities one is able to detect as being spread through a multidimensional space in a manner similar to the spread of the stars through the galaxy “around the Earth”. There are clusters of organizations with related interests, organizations which appear (from a given viewpoint) to be of greater significance than others which can be barely detected, etc.

The network of organizations is not a rigid unchanging structure. To be useful as a concept it must reflect the dynamism of society. It is therefore possible to visualize certain nodes as being visible for only a short time, as in the case of ad hoc meetings on a new subject or perhaps a 6-month project, or of being visible intermittently (with a characteristic frequency and type), as in the case of regular series of meetings. Similarly, the links between nodes might be permanent, corresponding to lines of responsibility between an organization and a dependent body, or only intermittent (with a characteristic frequency and type), corresponding to regular exchanges of information, or participation in a meeting, etc.

Visualization of the total network gives to an “observer”, the impression of nodes and links activating with such rhythms as to create shifting patterns of relationships between nodes. These are currently only registered semi-intuitively, making the structure of society difficult to objectify. There is a lack of relationships between nodes. These are currently only registered semi-intuitively, making the structure of society difficult to objectify. There is a lack of suitable terminology to describe such concepts and the necessity to provide an objective conceptual framework for such historically defined conventional social building blocks as “non-governmental”, “nonprofit”, etc. organizations. A strong case could be made for replacing these inadequate and negative terms by the general and dynamic term “net”. In which case, all information processing entities could be treated as nets with different characteristics, but nevertheless linking together or blending into one another to form more and more comprehensive networks. The lack of some such term reinforces the misconception that society is structured in a manner corresponding to the terms developed to delimit organized entities for specific limited purposes such as tax legislation, the law of contract, etc.

The current lack of ability to focus effectively on social structure for both academic and planning purposes has restricted thinking to the individual as an economic unit. Bertram Gross notes that the division of human beings into categories is less significant than the network of relationships between them, but that United Nations world surveys make no attempt to identify the resultant structure, restricting attention to “certain minimum welfare concepts” developed a decade earlier (6). Such reports give statistics on the number of cinemas, newspapers, radios, etc. per capita — the methods of informing, and influencing individuals from centres of power. No details are given on the groups and interlacing structures via which individuals express, protect and further their particular interests to determine the direction of development of society.

Subject Complexity

The evident complexity of the organization of society has largely arisen because of the need for organized response to newly recognized areas of knowledge and activity. The knowledge explosion and the time required to master any activity has accelerated the division of labour and increased the number of specialists and disciplines and the fragmentation of disciplines into sub-sub-disciplines.

The rapidity with which the frontiers of knowledge have been pushed back in different subject areas has meant that people committed to one area or mode of knowledge may be totally unaware of the significance to society or to themselves of activities in other areas — and furthermore it may be very difficult for them, even if they desire to do so, to locate or comprehend information on this significance.

An example is the narrow focus on an increase in the efficiency of “development” programmes and information systems in the context of the 2nd United Nations Development Decade, when it is precisely during this period that more sophisticated information systems will be required to guarantee adequate information on the environmental and pollution problems known to be caused directly by uncoordinated, misdirected or over-development (7).

---

(4) "The great part of current discussions of systems in sociology is embarrassingly naive and out of date in the light of modern systems research in other disciplines..." W. Becher, "Social Networks and Modern Systems Theory; presenting a case for r replacing outmoded models of society with a more viable conceptual framework..." Englewood-Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, 1967, p. 7.


(7) A current recognition of the importance and ramifications of environmental problems warrants a reconception of the Decade as the "U.N. Environmental Development Decade". This conveys more clearly the notion that it is not development at any price that is required, but development controlled in terms of the consequences of change — precisely the notion which is lacking in the development concept. This relates the development problems of the Third World to the over-development problems of the industrialized society — the creation of which is the goal of development.
Each group of persons committed to one area of knowledge or activity is from its own viewpoint, surrounded by a more or less chaotic collection of activities of barely understood importance. A useful picture of the situation may be obtained by adapting a theme in future-oriented novels concerning the period in the history of mankind when man will have long left Earth to colonize planetary bodies throughout the universe. The point made is that in this situation it is highly probable that distance, time, and communication problems and the relatively much greater psychological pull of events in local planetary society will isolate each group into independently developing sub-cultures which will eventually have no clear memory of their common origin on Earth or of the structure of the universe in parts distant from them. In man's colonization of, and commitment to, the different domains in the universe of knowledge, the equivalent of this situation may already be considered to exist. Each group therefore considers its own disciplines of most relevance to the solution of any problem — or else considers the problem to be of relative insignificance, or someone else's responsibility.

For example, "Suppose that an organizational problem is completely solvable by one of the disciplines we have considered (political science, economics, sociology, etc.)... how is a practitioner of any one discipline to know in a particular case if another discipline is better equipped to handle the problem than is his? It would be rare indeed if a representative of any one of these disciplines did not feel that his approach... would be very fruitful, if not the most fruitful..." (1).

The traditional possibility of "acting as though nature were organized into disciplines in the same way that universities are" (1) is now challenged by complex social and environmental problems. For "as systems analysts know, few of the problems that arise can adequately be handled within any one discipline... Complete understanding... requires an integration of these perspectives... The integration must come during not after the research." (1).

The counterpart to the relationship between disciplines is that between the problems themselves. It is recognized that "they are so interrelated that to proceed to try to solve any one of them in isolation from the others is often to create more problems than are solved by the effort." (2). This is unfortunately matched by a situation in which, for example, "Virtually the entire legal, intellectual, and administrative base of the redevelopment and urban renewal programs throughout the United States is based on the intensive treatment of a fragment of the problem." (3). An adequate world system-oriented data bank cannot therefore afford to be frozen into concern for any particular problem area, whether development, peace, education, etc. It must be possible to switch between each perspective, combine them and above all be prepared for new perspectives.

Functional Complexity

The design of an information system focusing on the world system must not only take into account the complex developments in organization systems, knowledge and problem areas, it must also make allowance for the increase in the relationship between different uses of such an information system.

World system data is not only of value for academic research in such fields as international relations and political science. Such data is also required by those groups concerned in different ways with the control of change, namely planners, politicians, policy-makers and the managers of large, complex organizations.

The value to them of a comprehensive information system is that it draws to their attention the structures of the environment or context which affect, or are affected by, the organization system with which they are concerned. Under present planning methods a precise mandate is usually sufficient to ensure that many extra-systemic factors go unconsidered. This leads to a situation where recommendations are made for an organization, to the satisfaction of all concerned, which totally ignore problems which have their origin in the environment of the organization — problems which will only become evident when the recommendations are implemented. The relevance of such factors outside the organization may have only been detected through academic research.

If the research information system is totally separate from that used for planning and programme management then there will be no adequate channel of communication between the two groups and: (a) research resources will not be directed toward the problems to which planners are exposed but will instead tackle problems and produce results not structured to the planner's needs; (b) planners will have to formulate recommendations on the basis of concepts which were out-of-date in research circles perhaps up to a decade earlier.

Similar importance may be attached to the use of world system data for public information purposes. Programme administration purposes, documentation, education (in universities and schools), and, perhaps most important, to guarantee democratic participative decision-making processes. Each use requires an extra item of data. The usual difficulty associated with developing a common data base is that in each case the data is apparently organized differently — there is no common element in terms of which each form of data could be structured. The reason for this is the traditional focus on the data produced in its many forms rather than the producers of the data. Focus on the latter supplies the common element requires (?).

The importance of all the many interactions between these different uses of data cannot be explored here. It should however be clear that any factors hindering or delaying interaction — particularly the creation of independent and non-compatible systems for each function by unrelated organizations or departments, creates communication lags which immediately give rise to new misunderstandings, unnecessary social problems, and an associated waste of resources. An example of this is the Jackson Report where recommendations on the United Nations Development System (?), research and programme administration systems ignore the need for a related public information system, although recognizing at the same time that an informed public opinion is the key to development (?).

Any new research insight concerning the world system should rapidly affect policymaking, education, public information, etc. The same is true for innovations in one area. Developments in each functional area must increasingly mesh smoothly together and reinforce one another instead of proceeding in leaps and starts. Information systems constitute the nervous system of planetary society. The fragmented approach to their design and use would seem to lead directly to social crises analogous to those found in case of certain of the nervous system, as though the world system was some organiza-

Consequences of Complexity

The very marked tendency to conceive of each organization, subject, problem and function as unrelated to others creates a situation in which people and groups become trapped with a limited understanding of the consequences and context of the activities in which they are engaged. Not only is it almost impossible to control existing problems but "there is a real danger that the process by which new concepts of management control are invented and developed may itself be out of control..." (?).

As aspects of the social crisis currently faced are detected — to the point of becoming a magnet for private concern or, at a later stage, government action — new organizations and information systems are created in response to each stimulus. By the time the new structures are operational and careers have been dedicated to them, they often become a positive hindrance to the solution of the original problem, which is then recognized to be dependent on factors not included in the organization’s original mandate. This is revealed in the light of newly acquired understanding of the nature and ramifications of the problem’s setting in the total social crisis. The development of this understanding is an ongoing process.


(?) Introduction to a 1968 session of the College of Management Control Systems (The Institute of Management Sciences).
A COMPLEX SOCIETY, hostile to any participation — this idea results from a superficial view of social structure.

A TRANSPARENT SOCIETY, open to participation — this idea springs from deeper perception assisted by an overall view of the organizational structure.

TWO POSSIBLE VIEWS OF THE SAME SOCIETY
(Photographs of the Morellet Sphere at the Rive Gauche Gallery in Brussels)
The mistake frequently made — often deliberately to gain the oversimplification necessary for effective political activity — is to consider this process completed at some point — giving a final definition of a single challenge to civilization e.g. peace, hunger, education, development, youth, pollution, etc. The danger arises when one such problem is suddenly set up through political processes as the major focus for resources — with no awareness of the context of the problem — in the hopes that this will prove to be, or give promise of being, the ultimate key to the total of problems. A new or better definition of the problem does not justify a complete switch of resources. Although it may lead to dramatic solutions of particular problems, it may jeopardize the process of finding and implementing solutions in new and related problems — because of its initial blinding success (or the interest vested in its supposed eventual success).

It is this process of problem detection and solution which must be conceptually contained. The organizations and information systems should be structured to handle changing definitions of problems and problems requiring different strategies (e.g. different speeds of response) rather than fall victim to each new definition of the key to the social crisis and its related solution strategy.

The hiatus created as society is forced to jump blindly from problem to problem is caused by the obsolescence and inadequacy of the organization and information systems structured to handle the "outgoing" problems only. Such systems are not organized for change and are therefore destroyed by change. The destruction may take the form of natural decay accompanied by the ageing of powerful supporters who have identified with the structure or, increasingly, by sudden "violent" liquidation because the presence of the structures is seen to actively resist or obscure the needed change.

All change is obviously not radical and it is important to distinguish change as taking place at different rates at many levels from the barely significant to the fundamental. If this graduation is apparent and understood then clearly the need for a minor structural change will not entail — through a process of guilt by association — unnecessary fundamental change, which would sweep away valuable social structures.

The consequence of the perceived complexity of society as explored in earlier sections is however to obscure thoroughly this graduation (except for some elites), thus magnifying the perceived extent of guilt by association, to the point of justifying to some the total destruction of all structure — total revulsion. It is because of this lack of transparency that the organizational form becomes inseparable from the visible negative consequences of organizational activity. Perceived complexity prevents people from locating organizations that are effectively tackling a given question, or makes them rightly suspicious that those located are only fronts for inactivity. This leads either to the creation of new organizations and information systems which complicate the complexity, or to frustration, claustrophobia and alienation of the individual.

The question is whether the process of change can be contained whilst at the same time reducing the instability provoked by lack of transparency. Clearly if the organizational structures are conceived of both in terms of inadequate restrictive categories and as isolated one from the other, then critics will suggest that whole categories of organizations should be swept away because of the lack of effective means of detecting or making apparent the adequate from the inadequate — it is the category of bodies as a "system" which is then condemned.

If however a network approach is used and generally understood, the inadequacy of a particular link, or sub-network, can be pin-pointed without the need to reject all associated links and nodes because of lack of transparency. It is no more a case of the scalpel rather than the sledgehammer. This approach offers a conceptual framework for the process of change, since the links changing at any one time will tend to form part of a sub-network for which the encompassing network remains unchanged. The problem is how to objectify this framework so that its possibilities can be realized.

Once achieved, this would permit democratic protest to be pinpointed as disagreement concerning specific links or sub-networks within an unquestioned encompassing network rather than as at present, where the parties split into camps with no perceptible common framework.

The UAI Inter-Contact System

The preceding sections reveal many opportunities to be seized in order to obtain a more realistic and powerful "vue d'ensemble" through the design of a world system-oriented data bank to be used and continually developed over the coming decades. Some of these opportunities are closely linked to major social problems which it would be presumptuous to believe that any organization could solve single-handed. The UAI can however — in solving its own information handling problems — create a tool which will provide a valuable integrative perspective on many of these problems and particularly on the organizations network which is the key to tackling them effectively.

Action is now being taken to gather together in a computer information on the internationally significant nodes and links in the world system network. A portion of this information already exists as the text descriptions of each international organization in the Yearbook of International Organizations. These descriptions may consist solely of an address or extend to several pages of text. The old text presentation has however to be broken down to enable the computer to pick out each link associated with a given organization or its subsections, in order to treat the data in network terms. Thus an organization has a link to member organizations, each linked perhaps to its own membership organization, and in turn to individuals. In terms of its organization chart, it is broken down into divisions and sections forming different networks of nodes and links. It is linked to other organizations for a variety of purposes (e.g. as a member, for receipt of aid, or collaboration on a programme). It may be linked to the network constituted by a regular conference and the organizations represented there, or by a periodical distribution, etc. And of course it is linked to its officers who may themselves have roles in, and thus be linked to, other organizations. (It is instructive to conceive of the individual as organizing role."

— the roles being "members" of the individual in network terms and through such roles he may be the key node linking government, academic and university bodies.)

The information collected is not limited to the contents of one Yearbook. The contact addresses (including libraries, national and local groups, multinational business enterprises, embassies, government agencies, etc.) which the UAI uses to distribute its journal (1) are also included as part of a planned long-term development to focus on the national and local points of activity which are of importance internationally.

Similarly it is planned to extend coverage to include other types of node on which the UAI has collected data in the past: meetings (2), programmes and projects, periodicals (3), meeting reports (4), etc. In each case the relationship of each node to other nodes will be indicated.

The advantage of this approach is that any point or node in the network of information already incorporated may be used as a nucleus for further growth. The minimum information held on each is that necessary to contact the node, namely the name and address. Growth may take the form of incorporating details on the network of organs which make up the internal structure of the node contacted, or on the bodies to which the node is linked — so that link by link an organic picture of particular sectors emerges.

The directions of growth are not pre-planned. The UAI has a vested interest in emphasizing the international picture, but whenever interested groups are prepared to supply funds to develop the network in a particular sector — health, agriculture, etc. — or a particular country, or any combination of characteristics this will be done. A currently important counterpart to the focus on the international end of the international-local dimension, is that on the multi-disciplinary end of the specialization dimension. Funcs may therefore be allocated to locating and including multidisciplinary bodies whether international or local.

The data bank will develop in several other senses. Increasingly more sophisticated methods will be used in association with university groups to analyze the network to improve understanding of the world system. In particular it is hoped to maintain links with the International Relations Program (Northwestern University, USA), International Peace Research Institute (Oslo University), and a group developing in the USSR which will use a powerful cybernetic approach — for which the Inter-Contact system is ideally suited.

Efforts will also be made to develop methods for displaying information on the network more simply and effectively to increase its value for non-technical policymakers and as an educational tool (5).

The system may be developed in another sense whose potential significance it is difficult to estimate. Inter-Contact is being created at a time when data banks exist in the USA with information on over 500 million people, when many governments are developing their own data systems, when the UN is attempting to create a bank of over one million addresses of individuals, and when the network of World Trade Centers around the world will hold and manipulate commercially valuable data in powerful computer systems (possibly linked by satellite). This increase in concentration of information under the control of government and business bodies, however benign, is recognized as a dangerous threat to privacy and to traditional methods of democratic control against abuse (6). The danger is increased because it is now recognized that the rapidity with which world problems are developing will shortly lead to a situation in which society "may be tempted to sacrifice (or may not be able to afford) democratic political processes" (7) — a situation predicted in George Orwell's "1984".

The Inter-Contact system — or the technique — offers a means for non-governmental, nonprofit groups of all shapes, sizes and persuasions to enhance their effectiveness by making use of a powerful computer system. The development foreseen is the creation of a flexible, sophisticated method of sharing data between bodies using the system, preserving security and the privacy of each where required, compensating each body when others use specified parts of the data it has collected, co-operative financing methods, and permitting some organizations (such as foundations) to subsidize the use of the system by specified non-profit bodies whose activities they wish to facilitate. (This approach is of great potential importance as a means of by-passing the traditional procedural, personal and idiomatic problems of co-ordination at administrative levels, by achieving a degree of "self-co-ordination" as a result of central integration at the information processing level. The range and flexibility of the technical possibilities are more than sufficient to meet the range of criteria for autonomy.)

It is expected that this unique development would also help to increase the effectiveness with which such bodies fulfil their function in democratic society of rapidly counter-balancing, or protesting against, the actions or omissions of other bodies (whether government agencies, associations, businesses, etc.), which according to their value systems, they consider to be dangerous or irresponsible. Many of these bodies can now introduce greater instability into the world system because of their current information processing superiority, and thus are in great need of more rapid and effective reactions from bodies in a position to detect excesses. It is important that such a non-political, non-commercial system should be created to avoid a situation in which the effectiveness of associations is jeopardized by the criteria or cost barriers imposed on access to governmental and business information systems. An Inter-Contact type system also has many implications for the problem of participation and for more effective formulation of the guiding values of society.

(1) International Associations, 1949, monthly.
(2) International Congress Calendar of future international meetings, annual with supplements in ref. 23.
(5) Research has already started on the use of televisiontype screen displays for organizational networks.
The important questions governing the realization of these co-operative possibilities are the degree to which potential users (a) reject the computer as a tool and a key to a better future because of its association in their minds with the use made of it by some organizations; (b) diminish their combined effectiveness by working independently through incompatible computer systems and competing for the limited available resources (the crippling error made by nearly every inter-governmental organization, even within the United Nations system); (c) recognize the need to prepare actively for, and to seek out and demand collectively, the information processing techniques of the near future from which they can derive the greatest benefit; (d) recognize the trend towards a situation in which their survival and effectiveness depend in a new way on how they increase or decrease the availability of information which they control (a situation in which it is the isolationist bodies which will wither).

Immediate Applications

a) Production of reference books.

The Inter-Contact system will be used during 1970 to produce the 13th edition of the Yearbook of International Organizations via a computer typesetting process. This means that the computer orders the data line by line, page by page on magnetic tape, incorporating corrections and additions and making 8 or more indexes, some in several languages. This leads to the production of a film from which the directory can be printed. The same data can be ordered in a different way to produce directories of organizations fulfilling any combination of criteria. For example a French edition of the Yearbook is planned (1), also several other related UAI publications on: meetings (2), periodicals (3), meeting reports (4). National directories could also be prepared under contract.

b) Research.

Requests for information on bodies fulfilling certain criteria will be answered from the same data (e.g. lists of organizations: with headquarters or members in Belgium, interested in a given subject, which have not held meetings in Tunisia). More complex structural studies will be undertaken in collaboration with university groups.

c) Mailings.

The system will be used for various kinds of mailing: questionnaires to obtain new information (e.g. for the production of new Yearbooks) and for special surveys; distribution of the monthly journal International Associations and those of other organizations on request; distribution of meeting invitations, etc.

One important aspect of this will be the ability to supply organizations who have become interested in a new field of activity with the addresses of all the bodies with whom they should be in contact.

Future Developments

Science and technology have reached the stage at which "for the first time in man's history, we are at the point where we can do virtually anything we wish if we are willing to pay the price" (9). This applies not only to the production of new things but also — and this is rarely mentioned — to the development of techniques to provide an integrated overall view of the social processes in which man is engaged. Hence the importance of futures research, it helps society to decide what it wants in the future as a guide to the allocation of resources now.

In the first section below some developments of the Inter-Contact system are described which are currently feasible technically. In the second section, the developments described indicate possibilities which are likely to be available within the next thirty years and to the realization of which the development of the UAI system would contribute.

a) The Immediate Future.

Organization Charts. Surprisingly enough many, if not most, large organizations like national government administrations or the United Nations family of organizations are unable to produce a detailed organization chart covering all their constituent bodies and organs.

A European government, for example, after having built up a complete list of the 300 international bodies concerned with development, was forced to renounce its intention of formulating a global policy for 1970-1980 because it was not possible to determine within its administration which departments were responsible for liaison with each such body. Attention has since been restricted to thirty of them, namely ten per cent.

Using the Inter-Contact system, it would be possible to hold information on such internal bodies and print out organization charts, plus indexes, and even arrange to match the organization charts of two national governments to pick out the "opposite numbers" in each hierarchy. Alternatively, it would be possible to pick out the lines of responsibility for decisions on a particular subject through such a hierarchy.

Graphics. It is also possible to display organization chart information on television-type tubes linked to computers — a display procedure now used on a large scale for airline bookings at London Airport. The really important breakthrough may however lie in the possibility of actually displaying parts of

a network of organizations as a network in two, three or four dimensions so that it can be inspected as a pictorial representation of interorganizational relationships. Information may be added to or extracted from the display by using a light-pen to interact with the computer. Such displays are currently used for the design of electronic circuits, engineering structures (airplanes, automobiles, etc.) and the analysis of three-dimensional models of complex chemical molecules (see above). The latter can for example be rotated, reduced or magnified on the display screen (1).

The fundamental importance of interactive graphics is the ability to facilitate understanding. Progress in understanding is made through the development of mental models or notations that permit a simple representation of a mass of complexities not previously understood. The greater the complexity however, the more difficult it is to use mental models, and hence the greater the risk of dangerous conceptual shortcuts and oversimplifications. For example, in a description of his own mental models of the operation of electrical circuits one author writes:

"Unfortunately, my abstract model tends to fade out when I get a circuit that is a little bit too complex. I can't remember what is happening in one place long enough to see what is going to happen somewhere else. My model evaporates. If I could somehow represent that abstract model in the computer to see a circuit in animation, my abstraction wouldn't evaporate. I could take the vague notion that "fades out at the edges" and solidify it. I could analyze bigger circuits. In all fields there are such abstractions. We haven't yet made any use of the computer's capability to "firm up" these abstractions. The scientist of today is limited by his pencil and paper and mind..."

We could let him represent all kinds of very complex and very abstract notions, and we could let him work with them in a way that he has never been able to do before. I think that the really big gains in the substantive scientific areas are going to come when somebody invents new abstractions which can only be represented in computer graphical form. (2)"

It is this sort of facility which the political, social, information and management scientists and educationists require in their studies of the world system and its subsystems. It appears highly probable that only abstractions of the above order will provide an adequate basis for an understanding and representation of the world system for purposes of sophisticated planning and decision-making. The use of such methods opens the way to render the world system transparent — its importance for obtaining a rapid understanding of complex inter-governmental structures, or of the relationships between enterprises in a given industrial sector is clear.

Such research will help identify structural weaknesses to the point where instead of creating new organizations, coordinating groups, information systems, bibliographies, journals, etc., the available funds will be used with great precision to improve the effectiveness of existing structures where possible — thus avoiding the vicious circle of duplication, overlap and ineffectiveness. Not only will the logic of such a decision be apparent in research terms, but the power of the visual display will validate the research view in the terms of the non-technical politician, planner or interested citizen, due to the ease with which complexities can be simplified or examined from many angles (see below).

Education. A visual display unit linked to a computer has considerable advantages as a technique for the communication of new concepts. As the world system increases in complexity new techniques must be sought to simplify education concerning it and the many roles and interactions open to the individual, the citizen and his organizations. The problems posed by the time currently required to communicate and adequate working knowledge of the world system and the difficulty of building up an integrated picture of its complexity, suggest that a visual display unit which computer mass memory support may have many possibilities.

An important possibility in building understanding is the ability to manipulate part of a multidimensional network via the visual display unit so as to portray the world system network from an origin chosen anywhere within the network. Thus an organization (or even a concept), known and understood by a particular user, may be used as visual origin and all other organizations (or concepts) displayed in terms of their relationship to it — according to a variety of models helpful to differing personality types. Entities "distant" in communications terms can be reduced in visual importance, whereas "nearby" organizations of relatively little absolute importance can be made of greater significance (approximating the recognition normally accorded them by the user). The student can work from his base system by requesting a restructuring of the display in terms of other system viewpoints as he builds up knowledge of, and a "feel" for, those originally conceptually distant from his starring point. In this way he can progress toward the more general levels of the world system or into other areas of detail. Of greatest importance, the student can work out and locate which organizations or systems offer the best avenue of fulfillment for him, or alternatively precisely in what way he must initiate some new activity to achieve such a measure of satisfaction or correct some trend which his values rate as unsatisfactory. Exploration of the organizational network can be recorded on videotape for educational purposes, briefings or newsreels.

b) More distant future

The purpose of this section is to envision briefly the sort of communication facilities and environment that seem desirable, or perhaps essential, for the last decade of this century in terms of the problems and technological opportunities — as a development of the contribution of the type of data structure being built by the UAI (1).

(1) See pages 108 and 109. A film has been produced by the UAI to illustrate this technique in the case of organizational data.
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Image of a complex chemical molecule on a visualization screen. This technique is now used to facilitate comprehension of this type of three-dimensional structure. The research worker can turn the molecule in order to look at it from different angles, to put up relevant texts and to make calculations on part of its structure.
The greater the number of relevant factors which must be taken into account in a decision-making situation the more complex becomes the structure necessary to display information revealing the problem. The use of interactive graphics, described above, will therefore be extended to give a working environment which may be described from the point of view of the executive (or member) as follows. He will conceive of his organization as the integrating or co-ordinating point of a set of networks of relationships between individuals and other bodies concerned with a web of problem areas. This concept will be given precise form by an appropriate display on a three-dimensional projection screen linked to a computer. He will be able to examine the current state of development of these networks. Each event and the passage of time will modify the pattern of links between organizations. The display will signal as he watches new links formed and broken and areas of inter-organizational conflict. New integrating points of various degrees of effectiveness and duration will appear and require decisions and actions from his organization. His decisions to allocate resources in new ways will modify the patterns of links on his own display and of those of others concerned with the same field. A related display will highlight for him the current problem areas and the rates of their development. He will be able to determine which organizations and associated project networks are concerned with which problem area, their effectiveness and need for resources. The computer will highlight problem areas of interest to him with which no organization is concerned and indicate bodies from which he might obtain funds, or which might be willing to collaborate or sponsor action on the part of his organization.(1)

Because of developments in communication, organizations — which are structures for processing information — will increasingly take the forms which are currently recognized. No office will be necessary because the files, accounts and documents are stored and used electronically. No meeting room will be necessary because of the inconvenience and delays of travel and the convenience of videophone conference calls.(2) The purely administrative organization becomes a concept concretized in a computer program and file structure.

This will have the advantage of reducing the ability or need to identify with the non-essential features of organizations which are often a major source of resistance to change. Even the concept of an organization as a permanent structure will be modified. The facility with which structures can be altered or created will increase the rate of modification of such structures to the point where new links are brought into play to cope with each new problem.

This takes us to a point where the concept of an organization as a distinct and well defined structure (other than in computer terms) is replaced by an emphasis on the potential components of structure at any one time in terms of a given problem pattern and the stimulus necessary to encourage their participation. The emphasis on organization dynamics is foreign to traditional thinking in formal organizations but is very close to the normal intuitive understanding of the operation of small groups, informal organization and pressure groups.

A more vivid appreciation on the flexibility which this will make possible is obtained by considering the organization (in sociological terms) which can be set up now by concerned people telephoning between one another to arrange joint action or protest over some new issue. In the future this procedure will be accompanied, over the same short period, by the formulation of (and bargaining over) the necessary computer-held structure, selection of contact lists, mailing lists, acquisition of funds (by credit transfers) etc. A formal body will therefore have been set up which could act to apply pressure or be wound up at the same speed.

The current range of organizations is severely limited because of the need for simple voting and control procedures and easily identifiable membership groups. The calculating and display power of the computer will permit and render understandable complex groupings of many types — making possible the existence of bodies which only "cohere" and "exist" on particular issues, change their structure and method of operation in a pre-negotiated way over time (3), or which might have a wide voting membership on one issue but a very limited one on another.

These new types of organization will pose considerable problems if they seek legal status — until legislation recognizes the fact that the computer program is an operationalized constitution and in fact offers a considerable more precise definition than that currently possible.

Perhaps the most important possibilities lie in the improvement of the relationship between the man-in-the-street and the specialists detecting new ways of understanding, changing and controlling society. The situation predicted for the world of 1976 in which "... the politicians, working in tandem with his technological advisers and program designers, is in a position to put forth interpretations of "urban reality" , programs to deal with it, and evaluations of those programs as implemented based on knowledge either unavailable to those who might challenge him or unavailable at the time that a challenge might be most effective" (4) will be overcome. The type of display envisaged could be adapted to receive both the most subtle insights of diplomats and even of artists (5), as well as those of mathematically oriented researchers. These could in turn be converted by the computer either directly, or via appropriate educational programmes, into explanations framed according to the demands of the man-in-the-street. The immensely improved possibilities for participation are implicit in the flexibility and ease with which organizations can be formed and

(1) This could lead to a breakthrough in the handling of minority-majority problems like those in Southern Africa.


(3) This has many implications for more imaginative and harmonious solution of interorganizational problems. The possibility is foreshadowed by current developments: "The computer which handles fantastic amounts of data for processing brings the artist close to the scientist. Both can now use the same disciplines and knowledge in different ways. For the first time, the artist is in a position to deal directly with the basic scientific concepts of the twentieth century". (C. Curri and J. Shaffer, Art, computers and mathematics. In: Computer and Society. Event Over, London, 1969).
controlled — or even protested against. It is only the extension of national
data systems to facilitate democratic action through such systems that will
prevent such systems from being swept away by processes of change or abused
for oppressive purposes. The key lies in using the same system for different
purposes and thus avoiding the spastic response of a society based upon a
fragmented non-participative information system.

In this context the conclusion may be reached that the only sufficiently complex
and yet understandable dynamic model for the large variety of processes about
which the policy maker will have to be prepared to learn, receive and integrate
related information — whilst at the same time retaining a concept of the ongoing
process as a whole — is the policy-maker as a fully developed human being.
This would provide considerable philosophic satisfaction to many as well as
providing a conceptual framework within which the balance between man and
his organized environment could be reestablished.

The problem would then become how to educate individuals as generalists to
model within themselves the interacting sub-systems of world society, with the
necessary increase in precision and breadth of vision, and how to enable them
to reflect these subtle insights back onto a visual display screen for objective
discussion, testing and further refinement.

Conclusion

The fact that there is no centre, university faculty or institute in existence or
proposed which specializes in the study of the world system as a whole, or of
the web of interacting problems as a whole, (1) increases the significance of the
activities and plans of the UAL. It also has a possible consequence which
seems to have been ignored.

The lack of such central collections of information means that nobody is stimulated
to think about either (or the ways of using such information) in broad
enough terms to cope with the synergistic effects which may be the eventual
cause of disaster. And, while "... the difficulties and dangers of problems
increase at a geometric rate, the knowledge and manpower qualified to deal
with these problems tend to increase at an arithmetic rate" (2). The fragmented
approach to society may even reinforce, and in turn be reinforced by, a degree
of conceptual fragmentation within man which opposes any sense of human
fulfillment (3) and — to the extent that the key to peace lies in the minds of
man (4) — blocks any approach to satisfactory world peace or to the solution
of other world problems.

---

(1) As an indication of the importance of this approach, see for example: United States Senate,
Committee on Government Operations, Subcommittee on National Security and International
(2) Yechezkel Dror. Prolegomenon to policy sciences: from muddling through to meta-policy-making.
Paper presented at a symposium of the American Association for the Advancement of Science.
December 1969.
(3) See for example. N.W. Chamberlain. The life of the mind in the firm. Daedalus, Winter 1969,
pp. 134-146. Also the possibility of "organizational apartheid" as a future world issue, discussed
in A.I.N. Judge. Organizational apartheid: who needs whom in the Second United Nations Develop-
(4) René Maheu. Director-General, UNESCO.
DISLOCATION OF THE WOOD BY THE TREES

The small black patterns in the design on the opposite page are included to indicate graphically a currently prevalent conceptual trend. The page constitutes a Gestalt puzzle and does have a very clear significance which once seen is obvious. The disordered impression is then permanently lost (see last paragraph for the key). Each black pattern may however be considered as representing the field of interest, concern or activity of some body or group in society. Thus, for example:

— patterns with particular characteristics are the special concern of particular disciplines, other patterns being considered as irrelevant. Each discipline therefore develops its activity in isolation from others — taken to the extreme this may lead to a form of "conceptual apartheid";

— each organization in society — as patterns of activity — whether governmental, nongovernmental, academic or commercial believes that only certain similar or matching patterns are of significance and warrant its attention or recognition. Taken to the extreme this may lead to a form of "organizational apartheid";

— each action or mission-oriented group believes that only certain pre-defined features of its social environment need to be taken into account in the conception and implementation of its programme. This leads to the well-known problems of communication between dynamic groups with different perspectives and value systems;

— each individual, despite the legal concept of equality of human rights, isolates characteristics common to his immediate contacts as being of greater absolute importance than others possessed by other individuals — thus reinforcing the many trends towards discrimination.

Each believes that the hope for a stable, peaceful and fulfilling world society lies in greater emphasis on those particular aspects of society of which he or she happens to be currently aware — just as in examining the black patterns opposite we attempt to fit them together by a detailed scrutiny of their structure. It is in this way that we expect to arrive at a more comprehensive and balanced understanding.

And yet the key to a comprehensive view is not necessarily via the greater elaboration of detail. There are other ways of looking at a maze of isolated patterns which can bring them together into a meaningful whole. The possibility of a "conceptual ecology" and an "organizational ecology" may be important in this respect.

Such a meaningful synthesis is required in many areas, for example: the need for multidisciplinary thinking to guide the long-term development of society, the need for co-ordination between different kinds of organizations concerned with related problems, and perhaps most important, the need for a fulfilling, balanced environment in which human beings can develop towards maturity. The key to the pattern opposite is obtained by looking at the network of white patterns which form letters of the alphabet linking the black patterns into lines of text which horizontally thus creating a meaningful whole. The lack of education in the ability to make this type of conceptual switch of perspective in other contexts severely impedes progress towards wide recognition of world society as a meaningful organic reality. The prime concern of the Union of International Associations is with new methods of facilitating such a switch.